

Repeat Codes, Even Codes, Odd Codes and Their Equivalence

Mustafa Özkan and Figen Öke

Department of Mathematics, Trakya University, Edirne ,Turkey
E-mail: mustafaozkan@trakya.edu.tr

Department of Mathematics, Trakya University, Edirne ,Turkey
E-mail: figenoke@trakya.edu.tr

Abstract Codes over the chain ring are obtained by writing special matrices. Gray images of these codes are binary codes. It is shown that first repeat code, second repeat code, even code and odd code are either equivalent or equal to these codes. The definitions of direct sum and direct product of these codes were given. Moreover dual codes were classed. Self dual codes and self orthogonal codes were established.



Keywords : Codes over rings, Lee distance, Even codes, Odd codes, Dual codes.

2000 MSC No: 94B15, 94B60.

1 Introduction

Different codes over the ring $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ with $u^2 = 0$ were studied before. Generally the relation between the codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ and binary codes were established. Some of these studies ; $(1+u)$ -cyclic and cyclic codes over the ring $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ were studied by J.F.Qian, L.N.Zhang and S.X Zhu in [3]. Some results on cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2$ were studied by S. Zhu, Y. Wang and M. Shi in [9]. A relation between Hadamard codes and some special codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ were studied by M. Özkan and F. Öke in [1]. This last study, which was written by forming special matrices over the ring is the Pioneer reference for emergence of this article. In this study, codes over the ring $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ are written by using special matrix. Special types of Hadamard codes are discussed finding binary of these codes. In the

second section, basic code structure was described as weight function on the ring $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ and information about Hadamard codes were given. Gray map was defined. Matrices N^{α_1, α_2} were formed

by using elements of the ring $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$. Then codes C^{α_1, α_2} were defined with the help of these matrices. It is shown that Gray images of the codes C^{α_1, α_2} are equal to the Hadamard codes. By this way it is shown that Hadamard codes could be obtained by without using Hadamard matrices.

In the third section, the definition of new code were made with the help of the codes C^{α_1, α_2} . The classification of the new codes were made. It is determined whether they are equivalent or equal to the code C^{α_1, α_2} . Also the definition of odd code and even code is given for the codes C^{α_1, α_2} . An important proposition about Gray map and even codes are written.

In the last section, the results about direct sum and direct product of these codes were given. Moreover looking duals of codes and their Gray images the classification is made whether they are self dual or self orthogonal.

2 Formulations

It is known that $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1, u, 1+u\}$ with $u^2 = 0$ is a ring with the usual addition and multiplication. Also it is known that this ring is isomorphic to the ring $\mathbb{F}_2[u] / \langle u^2 \rangle$ where $u^2 = 0$.

The ring $R = \mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ has ideals satisfied the inclusions; $\langle 0 \rangle \subseteq \langle u \rangle \subseteq \langle 1 \rangle = R$. The R ring is finite chain ring. Let C be a (n, M, d) -code. It means that C has the length n , it has M elements and its minimum distance is d .

The Lee weight of each $r \in R$ is defined as;

$$w_L(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & ; r = 0 \\ 1 & ; r = 1, 1+u \\ 2 & ; r = u \end{cases} .$$

Then $w_L(r) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_L(r_i)$ is satisfied for each element $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \in R^n$.

The Hamming weight on \mathbb{F}_2 is defined as;

$$w_H(c) = \begin{cases} 0 & ; c = 0 \\ 1 & ; c = 1 \end{cases} .$$

Hence $w_H(c) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_H(c_i)$ is hold for each $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$.

The minimum distance of a code C is defined as ;

$d_L(C) = \min\{d_L(x, y)\}$, here $x, y \in C$, $x \neq y$ if C is a code over R and

$d_H(C) = \min\{d_H(x, y)\}$, here $x, y \in C$, $x \neq y$ if C is a code over \mathbb{F}_2 .

Generally the Gray map is defined as :

$$\Phi : R^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^{2n}$$

$$(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \mapsto (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n, a_1 + b_1, a_2 + b_2, \dots, a_n + b_n)$$

where $r_i = a_i + ub_i \in R$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Therefore C is a code over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ which has length n , its image $\Phi(C)$ under the Gray map will be a binary code which has length $2n$.

It is clearly seen that the equalities $w_L(r) = w_H(\Phi(c))$ for each $r \in R^n$ are satisfied. Therefore it means that Φ is an isometry from (R^n, d_L) to (\mathbb{F}_2^{2n}, d_H) .

A $n \times n$ matrix such that all components are -1 or 1 and $M.M^t = n.I$ is called Hadamard matrix. A $n \times n$ matrix is called binary normalized Hadamard matrix if it is obtained from M_n $n \times n$ normalized Hadamard matrix writing 0 instead of 1 and writing 1 instead of -1 . Let A_n be binary normalized Hadamard matrix of a binary Hadamard matrix M_n . If each two rows of A_n are orthogonal then $\frac{n}{2}$ elements are different for these rows of A_n .

Think that each row of A_n is a vector. Then it is seen that the distance of between two rows is $\frac{n}{2}$. Write each row of matrix as a vector which has n length. Adding themselves and their complements to back of these vectors respectively, new vectors which has $2n$ length are obtained. Write these new vectors as a code words. If completions of these code words join to this set, it is obtained that a Hadamard code included $4n$ elements. Thus the minimum distance of this code is n .

Choose that all elements of first row of the matrix N^{α_1, α_2} from the set $\{1\}$, choose that the elements of the other row from the set $\{0, 1, u, 1+u\}$ if $\alpha_2 = 0$ and from the set $\{0, u\}$ if $\alpha_1 = 0$. Assume that columns of this matrix are lexicographically ordered. This matrix constructed above is a special matrix which has $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1$ rows.

Certain examples for the matrix N^{α_1, α_2} constructed above are given below :

$$N^{0,0} = [1] , N^{0,1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & u \end{bmatrix} , N^{0,2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & u & u \\ 0 & u & 0 & u \end{bmatrix} , N^{0,3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & u & u & u & u \\ 0 & 0 & u & u & 0 & 0 & u & u \\ 0 & u & 0 & u & 0 & u & 0 & u \end{bmatrix} ,$$

$$N^{1,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & u & 1+u \end{bmatrix} , N^{2,0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & u & u & u & u & 1+u & 1+u & 1+u & 1+u \\ 0 & 1 & u & 1+u & 0 & 1 & u & 1+u & 0 & 1 & u & 1+u & 0 & 1 & u & 1+u \end{bmatrix} ,$$

$$N^{1,1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & u & u & 1+u & 1+u \\ 0 & u & 0 & u & 0 & u & 0 & u \end{bmatrix} .$$

Define the code $C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} = \{ (c_1, c_2).N^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \mid c_1 \in R^{\alpha_1+1}, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\alpha_2} \}$ which has a generator matrix N^{α_1, α_2} where α_1, α_2 are integers such that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$. The length of this code is $n = 2^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$. Moreover the parameter of the code C^{α_1, α_2} over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ are $(n, 4n, n)$. If C^{α_1, α_2} is a code generated by the matrix N^{α_1, α_2} over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$, its image $\Phi(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})$ under the Gray map is the $(2n, 4n, n)$ Hadamard code over the field \mathbb{F}_2 .

The generating matrices of the $\Phi(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})$ codes over \mathbb{F}_2 are M Hadamard matrices.

3 Odd codes, even codes and recurrent construction codes of the code C^{α_1, α_2}

Let $n = 2^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$ where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$. It is known that $C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} = \{ (c_1, c_2).N^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \mid c_1 \in R^{\alpha_1 + 1}, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\alpha_2} \}$ is a $(n, 4n, n)$ -code over the ring R . Hence $S' = \{00\dots 0, uu\dots u\}$ is a $(n, 2, 2n)$ -code and $S'' = \{00\dots 0, 11\dots 1, uu\dots u, 1+u1+u\dots 1+u\}$ is a $(n, 4, n)$ -code over the ring R .

Definition 3.1 The codes ${}_1C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} = \{ (a, a+b) \mid a \in C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}, b \in S' \}$ and ${}_2C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} = \{ (a, a+b, a+ub, a+(1+u)b) \mid a \in C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}, b \in S'' \}$ is defined by using the codes S', S'' and C^{α_1, α_2} . Let ${}_1C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} = \{ (a, a+b) \mid a \in C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}, b \in S' \}$ and ${}_2C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} = \{ (a, a+b, a+ub, a+(1+u)b) \mid a \in C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}, b \in S'' \}$ where S', S'' and C^{α_1, α_2} are defined as above ${}_1C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ is called first repeat code over R which has parameters $(2n, 8n, 2n)$. ${}_2C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ is called second repeat code over R which has parameters $(4n, 16n, 4n)$.

Proposition 3.2 The code ${}_1C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ is equivalent to the code $C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 1}$. Specially ${}_1C^{0, \alpha_2} = C^{0, \alpha_2 + 1}$ is satisfied.

Proof. Here $b = 00\dots 0$ or $b = uu\dots u$ for the code ${}_1C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$.

For each codeword $a \in C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ with length $n = 2^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$ then for each codeword $x = (a, a+b) \in {}_1C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ has length $2n$. Thus $2n = 2 \cdot 2^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} = 2^{2\alpha_1 + (\alpha_2 + 1)}$ is satisfied. Similarly the number of elements of the code C^{α_1, α_2} will be two times of the number of elements of S' . $d_L(a) = n, d_L(a+b) = n$ since $d_L(b) = 0$ or $d_L(b) = 2n$. Then $d_L(x) = 2n$ for each $x \in {}_1C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ so the code which has length $2^{2\alpha_1 + (\alpha_2 + 1)}$ is equivalent to the code $C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 1} = \{ (c_1, c_2).N^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 1} \mid c_1 \in R^{\alpha_1 + 1}, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2^{\alpha_2 + 1} \}$. Especially in the case $\alpha_1 = 0$, each rows of the matrix N^{0, α_2} in except the first row are consisted of the elements 0 and u . It is seen that these codes are $C^{0, \alpha_2 + 1}$

Proposition 3.3 Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$, Then the code ${}_2C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ is equivalent to the code $C^{\alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2}$.

${}_2C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ is equal to the code $C^{\alpha_1 + 1, \alpha_2}$ when $\alpha_1 = 0$.

Proof. Let the length of C^{α_1, α_2} be n . The length of the code ${}_2C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ is $4n = 2^2 \cdot 2^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} = 2^{2(\alpha_1 + 1) + \alpha_2}$ since the length of the code ${}_2C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ is 4 times of the length of the code C^{α_1, α_2} . Proof is similarly completed to the proof of the Proposition 3.2.

Example 3.4 Since $N^{0,1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & u \end{bmatrix}$ then

$C^{0,1} = \{ (c_1, c_2).N^{0,1} \mid c_1 \in R, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2 \} = \{00, 11, uu, 1+u1+u, 0u, u0, 11+u, 1+u1\} \subseteq R^2$ is the $(2, 8, 2)$ -code.

Let $S' = \{00, uu\}$. Then ${}_1C^{0,1} = \{ (a, a+b) \mid a \in C^{0,1}, b \in S' \}$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0000, uuuu, 0u0u, u0u0, 1111, 1+u1+u1+u1+u, 11+u11+u, 1+u11+u1 \\ 00uu, uu00, 0uu0, u00u, 111+u1+u, 1+u1+u11, 11+u1+u1, 1+u111+u \end{array} \right\} \subseteq R^4 \text{ is a } (4,16,4) \text{ - code.}$$

It is seen that this is equal the code $C^{0,2}$ obtained by the matrix $N^{0,2}$

The code ${}_2C^{0,1} = \{ (a, a+b, a+ub, a+(1+u)b) \mid a \in C^{0,1}, b \in S'' \}$ is equal to the code $C^{1,1}$ generated by the matrix $N^{1,1}$. The parameter of the code ${}_2C^{0,1}$ is $(8,32,8)$.

Example 3.5 Writing the code

$$C^{1,0} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0000, 1111, uuuu, 1+u1+u1+u1+u, 01u1+u, 101+uu, u1+u01, 1+uu10, \\ 0u0u, 11+u11+u, u0u0, 1+u11+u1, 01+uu1, 1u1+u0, u101+u, 1+u01u \end{array} \right\} \text{ with the}$$

parameter $(4,16,4)$, the code

$${}_1C^{1,0} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 00000000, 0000uuuu, 01u1+u01u1+u, 01u1+uu1+u01, \\ 0u0u0u0u, 0u0uu0u0, 01+uu101+uu1, 01+uu1u101+u, \\ 11111111, 11111+u1+u1+u1+u, 101+uu101+uu, 101+uu1+uu10, \\ 1+u1+u1+u1+u1+u1+u1+u1+u, 1+u1+u1+u1+u1111, 1+uu101+uu10, 1+uu10101+uu, \\ 11+u11+u11+u11+u, 11+u11+u1+u11+u1, 1u1+u01u1+u0, 1u1+u01+u01u, \\ 1+u11+u11+u11+u1, 1+u11+u111+u11+u, 1+u01u1+u01u, 1+u01u1u1+u0, \\ uuuuuuuu, u0u0u0u0, uu1+u1+u0011, u01+u10u11+u, \\ uu00uu00, u00uu00u, uu11001+u1+u, u011+u0u1+u1 \end{array} \right\} \subseteq R^8$$

obtained. Hence, using a suitable rotation and permutation the components of ${}_1C^{1,0}$, the code $C^{1,1}$ is obtained. Then it is seen that the code ${}_1C^{1,0}$ is equivalent to the code $C^{1,1}$. Moreover it is seen that, the code ${}_2C^{1,0}$ is equivalent to the code $C^{2,0}$.

Definition 3.6 Let $C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \subseteq R^n$ be a code.

$even(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}) = \{ (c_0, c_2, \dots, c_{n-2}) \in R^{\frac{n}{2}} \mid (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \}$ is called an even code over $R^{\frac{n}{2}}$.

$odd(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}) = \{ (c_1, c_3, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in R^{\frac{n}{2}} \mid (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \}$ is called an odd code over $R^{\frac{n}{2}}$. Even codes and odd codes are defined over the field \mathbb{F}_2 writing \mathbb{F}_2 instead of R .

Proposition 3.7 Let C^{α_1, α_2} be a code.

i) $even(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}) = odd(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}) = C^{\alpha_1-1, \alpha_2+1}$ is satisfied if $\alpha_1 \geq 1, \alpha_2 \geq 0$.

ii) $even(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}) \approx odd(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}) = C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2-1}$ is satisfied if $\alpha_1 \geq 0, \alpha_2 \geq 1$.

Proposition 3.8 $even(\Phi(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})) = \Phi(even(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}))$ is satisfied.

Proof. Let $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ where $c_i = r_i + uq_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{If } \Phi(c) = \Phi(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) &= \Phi(r_0 + uq_0, r_1 + uq_1, \dots, r_{n-1} + uq_{n-1}) \\ &= (q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{n-1}, r_0 + q_0, r_1 + q_1, \dots, r_{n-1} + q_{n-1}) \in \Phi(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}) \end{aligned}$$

then $(q_0, q_2, \dots, q_{n-2}, r_0 + q_0, r_2 + q_2, \dots, r_{n-2} + q_{n-2}) \in even(\Phi(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}))$.

On the other hand,

$c' = (c_0, c_2, \dots, c_{n-2}) = (r_0 + uq_0, r_2 + uq_2, \dots, r_{n-2} + uq_{n-2}) \in \text{even}(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})$. Then

$\Phi(c') = (q_0, q_2, \dots, q_{n-2}, r_0 + q_0, r_2 + q_2, \dots, r_{n-2} + q_{n-2}) \in \Phi(\text{even}(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}))$.

This Proposition can be written for the odd codes.

Example 3.9 For the code

$C^{0,2} = \{ 0000, 0u0u, 00uu, 0uu0, 1111, 11+u11+u, 111+u1+u, 11+u1+u1, uuuu, u0u0, uu00, u00u, 1+u1+u1+u1+u, 1+u11+u1, 1+u1+u11, 1+u111+u \} \subseteq R^4$,

is obtained. $\text{even}(C^{0,2}) = \{ 00, u0, 0u, uu, 11, 11+u, 1+u1, 1+u1+u \}$ is obtained.

$\Phi(\text{even}(C^{0,2})) = \{ 0000, 0011, 1111, 1100, 0101, 0110, 1010, 1001 \}$ and

$\Phi(C^{0,2}) = \{ 00000000, 01010101, 00110011, 01100110, 00001111, 01011010, 00111100, 01101001, 11111111, 10101010, 11001100, 10011001, 11110000, 10100101, 11000011, 10010110 \}$

Hence $\text{even}(\Phi(C^{0,2})) = \Phi(\text{even}(C^{0,2}))$ is obtained.

Example 3.10 The even code of $C^{1,0}$ given in the example 3.5. is

$\text{even}(C^{1,0}) = \{ 00, u0, 11, 11+u, uu, u0, 1+u1+u, 1+u1 \} = \text{odd}(C^{1,0}) = C^{0,1}$.

Example 3.11 Let $C^{1,1} = \{ (c_1, c_2) \mid c_1 \in R^2, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2 \}$ with the parameter $(8, 32, 8)$.

$\text{even}(C^{1,1}) = \{ 0000, 0u0u, 00uu, 0uu0, 1111, 11+u11+u, 111+u1+u, 11+u1+u1, 1+u1+u1+u1+u, 1+u11+u1, 1+u1+u11, 1+u111+u, uuuu, u0u0, u101+u, u1+u01 \} \subseteq R^4$.

Th

e parameter of this code is $(4, 16, 4)$. Using a suitable rotation and permutation to the components of this code the code

$C^{1,0} = \{ 0000, 1111, uuuu, 1+u1+u1+u1+u, 01u1+u, 101+uu, u1+u01, 1+uu10, 0u0u, 11+u11+u, u0u0, 1+u11+u1, 01+uu1, 1u1+u0, u101+u, 1+u01u \}$ is obtained.

It was shown that the Gray images of the codes C^{α_1, α_2} are Hadamard codes in [1]. In this study; it is shown that ${}_1C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}, {}_2C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}, \text{even}(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})$ and $\text{odd}(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})$ are either equivalent or equal to the code C^{α_1, α_2} and so these codes are Hadamard codes.

4 The structure of the linear code over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$

Let $A, B \subseteq R^n$. It is written that $A \otimes B = \{ (a, b) \mid a \in A, b \in B \}$ and

$A \oplus B = \{ a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B \}$. Let C^{α_1, α_2} be a code with length n over R . Define

$C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} = \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{F}_2 \ni x + uy \in C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \}$ and $C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} = \{ x + y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid x + uy \in C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \}$. $C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}, C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ are binary codes.

Proposition 4.1 $C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2+1} \subseteq C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \otimes C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ is satisfied.

Proof. Take $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \in C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2+1}$. The length of the code $C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2+1} \subseteq R^n$ is $n = 2^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 1}$.

For each codeword

$$c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{2^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}) = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{2^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}}, c_{2^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + 1}, \dots, c_{2^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} + 1}) \in C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \otimes C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \text{ is satisfied.}$$

Theorem 4.2 Let C^{α_1, α_2} be a code of length $n = 2^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$ over R . Then

$$C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \otimes C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \approx \Phi(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}) \text{ and } |C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}| = |C_1| \cdot |C_2|.$$

Proof. For each $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, q_1 + r_1, q_2 + r_2, \dots, q_n + r_n)$ is element of $\Phi(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})$. Let $c_i = r_i + uq_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$. $c = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) \in C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ since Φ is a bijection. Using the definitions of $C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ and $C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$, we obtained that $(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) \in C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$, $(q_1 + r_1, q_2 + r_2, \dots, q_n + r_n) \in C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$, thus

$$(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, q_1 + r_1, q_2 + r_2, \dots, q_n + r_n) \in C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \otimes C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}. \text{ This implies that } \Phi(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}) \subseteq C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \otimes C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}.$$

$C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \otimes C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \subseteq \Phi(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})$ is similarly obtained. This means that all elements of the code $C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \otimes C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ are equivalent to the elements of the code $\Phi(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})$. The second result is easy to verify.

Corollary 4.3 If $\Phi(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}) \approx C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \otimes C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$

i) $C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} = C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \oplus (u)C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ when $\alpha_1 = 0$

ii) $C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \subseteq C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \oplus (u)C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ when $\alpha_1 \neq 0$

Proposition 4.4 Let $C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \subseteq R^n$ be a code.

$$d_H = d_L = \min \{d_H(C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}), d_H(C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})\} \text{ is satisfied.}$$

Proof. It is known that distances are invariant under the map Φ . $d_L(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}) = d_H(\Phi(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}))$.

Since $\Phi(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}) \approx C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \otimes C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$. $d_H(\Phi(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})) = d_H(C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \otimes C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}) = \min \{d_H(C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}), d_H(C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})\}$ is obtained.

Proposition 4.5 The codes are a self orthogonal codes except the codes $C^{0,0}$ and $C^{0,1}$. The codes $C^{1,0}$ and $C^{0,2}$ are self dual codes.

Proof. It is known that code C^{α_1, α_2} is $n = 2^{2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2}$. The number of elements of the code $(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})^\perp$ is $\frac{4^n}{4.n}$ since the number of elements of the code C^{α_1, α_2} is $4n$. So $4n \leq \frac{4^n}{4.n}$ except the cases $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (0,0)$ and $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) = (0,1)$. Then $C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2} \subseteq (C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})^\perp$ is satisfied.

Proposition 4.6 The code $\Phi(C^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})$ a self orthogonal code, except the codes $\Phi(C^{0,0})$ and $\Phi(C^{0,1})$. Specially the codes $\Phi(C^{0,1})$ and $\Phi(C^{0,2})$ are self dual codes.

Proof. It can be similarly obtained to the proof of Proposition 4.5.

Proposition 4.7 $(C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})^\perp = C_1^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$, except the codes $C^{0,0}$ and $C^{0,1}$. Either $(C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})^\perp = C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2}$ or $(C_2^{\alpha_1, \alpha_2})^\perp$ is equal the a repetition code over \mathbb{F}_2

References

- [1] M. Özkan, F. Öke, "A relation between Hadamard codes and some special codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ ", *App.Mathematics and Inf Sci*, Vol.10, No.2, (2016), pp.701-7047.
- [2] J.Wolfmann, "Negacyclic and cyclic codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 ", *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, Vol.45, (1999), pp.2527-2532
- [3] J.F.Qian, L.N.Zhang, S.X Zhu, "(1+u)-constacyclic and cyclic codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ ", *Applied Mathematics Letters*", Vol.19,(2006),pp.820-823.
- [4] Krotov, D. S., "Z4-linear perfect codes", *Diskretn. Anal. Issled. Oper. Ser.1.Vol. 7.* No.4.(2000),pp.78-90
- [5] M. Ozkan, F. Oke, "Codes defined via especial matrices over the ring and Hadamard codes", *Mathematical Sciences and Applications E-Notes*, Vol.5,No.1 (2017),pp.93-98.
- [6] A. Bonnecaze and P. Udaya, "Cyclic codes and self dual codes $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2$ ", *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, Vol.45, (1999),pp.1250-1255.
- [7] M. Özkan, F. Öke, "Some Special Codes Over $\mathbb{F}_3 + v\mathbb{F}_3 + u\mathbb{F}_3 + u^2\mathbb{F}_3$ " *Mathematical Sciences and Applications E-Notes*, Vol. 4, No.1, (2016), pp. 40-44.
- [8] M. Özkan, F. Öke, "Gray images of (1 + v)- constacyclic codes over a particular ring", *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*. Vol. 6, No.Si. 2, (2017) pp. 241-245.
- [9] S. Zhu, Y. Wang, M. Shi, Some Result On Cylic Codes Over $\mathbb{F}_2 + v\mathbb{F}_2$, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, Vol.56, No.4,(2010),pp.1680-1684.
- [10] Vermani, L. R., "Elements of Algebraic Coding Theory", *Chapman Hall*, India., (1996).