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ABSTRACT The essence of this research is to study the optimal investment strategy for a plan contributor in a defined contribution (DC) pension scheme, with stochastic salary and stochastic extra contribution, under the affine interest rate model. We considered the Nigerian Pension Reform Act of 2004 which allow members to contribute an additional proportion of their income into the pension account different from the mandatory contribution. Also we considered investment in cash, zero coupon bond and stock. By using Legendre transformation method and dual theory we obtained the optimal investment strategies for the three investments under the exponential utility function. We observed that the extra contribution has an effect on the optimal investment strategies. The result shows that the pension member will reduce the proportion of his wealth to be invested in cash and increase the proportion to be invested in bond and stock.
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1. Introduction

Recently the practice of DC pension scheme has grown rapidly in most countries since it has provided a convenience platform for members to involve in it. One of the most attractive features of this scheme is that the member has an idea of what to expect at the time of retirement. Since the member knows that he benefit depend on the various investments which may include investment in one or more of riskless asset (cash), coupon bond, and stock. The need to understand the risk involve and the possible way to minimize the risk has developed in the past years with the study of optimal investment strategies for the investment involved.

[3,4], studied the optimal portfolio problem in a DC pension fund with stochastic interest rate, they assume the interest rate to be of Vasicek model, [5,6], studied the same problem but assume the
interest rate to have an affine structure i.e a model with Vasicek and Cox – Ingeroll – Ross (CIR) model. [3], considered risk incurred from the salary and inflation using vasicek model to determine the optimal allocation strategy. [2], studied optimal investment strategies with stochastic salary under affine interest rate.

In studying the optimal investment strategy, the need for maximization of the expected utility of the terminal wealth is of necessity. Example include, the Constant Relative Risk Aversion (CRRA) utility function, and (or) the Constant Absolute Risk Aversion (CARA) utility function. Some authors use the power utility function which exhibit CRRA see [4-8], while other use exponential utility function which exhibit CARA see [3,9], also some make use of the two see [2,9]. [1], considered an Inflationary market. In their work, the plan member made extra contribution to amortize the pension fund. The CRRA utility function was used to maximize the terminal wealth. This form the basis of our research.

This paper extend the work of [2] by study the optimal investment strategies with stochastic extra contribution rate to analyze the effect of the extra contribution rate on the optimal investment strategies in the various investment. We also applied the Legendre transformation method to obtain the optimal investment strategies for the respective assets.

2. Preliminaries

We start with a complete and frictionless financial market that is continuously open over the fixed time interval [0, T], for T > 0 representing the retirement time of a given shareholder.

**Financial Market:** We assume that the market is made up of risk free asset (cash) a zero coupon bond and risky asset (stock). Let (Ω, F, P) be a complete probability space where Ω is a real space and P is a probability measure, (W(t), t ≥ 0) is a standard two dimensional motion such that they orthogonal to each other. F is the filtration and denotes the information generated by the Brownian motion (W_1(t), W_2(t)).

**Risk free Asset (Cash):** Let S_0(t) denote the price of the risk free asset at time t and it is modeled as follows

\[
\frac{dZ(t)}{Z(t)} = r(t)dt \quad Z_0(0) = 0
\]  

(1)

r(t) represent the short interest rate process which is given by

\[
dr(t) = (\alpha - br(t)) dt - \sigma_r dW_1(t), \quad \sigma_r = \sqrt{p_1 r(t) + p_2}, \quad t \geq 0,
\]  

(2)

Where α, b, (0), p_1, and p_2 are positive real numbers. If p_1 (resp., p_2) is equal to zero, we have a special case, as in [11,12]. So under these dynamics, the term structure of the short interest rates is affine, which has been studied by [2,5,6,12].

**Risky Asset (stock):** Let S_t(t) denote the risky asset and its dynamics is given based on a continuous time stochastic process at t ≥ 0 and the price process described as follows

\[
\frac{dS_t(t)}{S_t(t)} = r(t)dt + \sigma_2(dW_2(t) + \lambda_1 dt) + n_1 \sigma_r(dW_1(t) + \lambda_2 dt), \quad S_t(0) = S_0
\]  

(3)

with λ_1, λ_2 (resp., σ_2, n_1) being constants (resp., positive constants) [2,5,6]
(Zero Coupon Bond): A zero-coupon bond with maturity $T$, whose price at time $t$ is denoted by $B(t, T)$, $t \geq 0$, and its dynamics is given by the SDE below [2,5,6]

$$\frac{dB(t,T)}{B(t,T)} = r(t)dt + \sigma_b(T-t, r(t))(dW_1(t) + \lambda_2 \sigma_r dt), \quad B(T, T) = 1$$

(4)

Where $\sigma_b(T-t, r(t)) = f(T-t)\sigma_r$ and

$$f(t) = \frac{2(e^{mt} - 1)}{m-(b-p_1\alpha_2)+e^{mt(m+b-p_1\alpha_2)}}, \quad m = \sqrt{((b-p_1\alpha_2)^2 + 2p_1}$$

(5)

Stochastic Salary: Based on the works of [2-5] we denote the salary at time $t$ by $(t)$ which is described by

$$\frac{dL(t)}{L(t)} = \mu_L(t, r(t))dt + n_2\sigma_r dW_1(t) + n_3 \sigma_s dW_2(t), \quad L(0) = L_0$$

(6)

where $n_2, n_3$ are real constants, which are two volatility scale factors measuring how the risk sources of interest rate and stock affect the salary. That is to say, the salary volatility is supposed to a hedgeable volatility whose risk source belongs to the set of the financial market risk sources. This is in accordance with the assumption in [5], but differs from those of [3,4] who also suggest that the salary was influence by non hedgeable risk source (i.e., non-financial market). Also [2], assume that the instantaneous mean of the salary is such that $\mu_L(t, r(t)) = r(t) + m_L$ where $m_L$ is a real constant.

3. Methodology

3.1 Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation

Assume we represent $\pi = (\pi_B, \pi_{S_l})$ as the strategy and we define the utility attained by the contributor from a given state $x$ at time $t$ as

$$G_\pi(t, r, x) = E_\pi[U(X(T)] \mid r(t) = r, X(t) = x]$$

(7)

Where $t$ is the time, $S$ is the price of the risky asset and $x$ is the wealth. Our interest here is to find the optimal value function

$$G(t, r, x) = \sup_\pi G_\pi(t, r, x)$$

(8)

and the optimal strategy $\pi^* = (\pi_{B}^*, \pi_{S_l}^*)$ such that

$$G_{\pi^*}(t, r, x) = G(t, r, x).$$

(9)

3.2. Legendre Transformation

The Legendre transform and dual theory help to transform non linear partial differential equation to a linear partial differential equation.
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**Theorem 3.1:** Let \( f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} \) be a convex function for \( z > 0 \), define the Legendre transform
\[
N(z) = \max_x \{ f(x) - zx \},
\]
where \( N(z) \) is the Legendre dual of \( f(x) \). [13]

Since \( f(x) \) is convex, from theorem 3.1 we defined the Legendre transform
\[
\hat{H}(t, r, z) = \sup \{ H(t, r, x) - zx \mid 0 < x < \infty \} \quad 0 < t < T.
\]
where \( \hat{H} \) is the dual of \( H \) and \( z > 0 \) is the dual variable of \( x \).

The value of \( x \) where this optimum is attained is denoted by \( h(t, r, z) \), so that
\[
h(t, r, z) = \inf \{ x \mid H(t, r, x) \geq zx + \hat{H}(t, r, z) \} \quad 0 < t < T.
\]

The function \( h \) and \( \hat{H} \) are closely related and can be referred to as the dual of \( H \). These functions are related as follows
\[
\hat{H}(t, r, z) = H(t, r, h) - zh.
\]
Where
\[
h(t, r, z) = x, H_x = z, h = -\hat{H}_z.
\]
At terminal time, we denote
\[
\hat{U}(z) = \sup \{ U(x) - zx \mid 0 < x < \infty \},
\]
and
\[
G(z) = \sup \{ x \mid U(x) \geq zx + \hat{U}(z) \}.
\]
As a result
\[
G(z) = (U')^{-1}(z),
\]
where \( G \) is the inverse of the marginal utility \( U \) and note that \( G(T, r, x) = U(x) \)

At terminal time \( T \), we can define
\[
h(T, r, z) = \inf_{x > 0} \{ x \mid U(x) \geq zx + \hat{H}(t, r, z) \} \text{ and } \hat{H}(t, r, z) = \sup_{x > 0} \{ U(x) - zx \}
\]
so that
\[
h(T, r, z) = (U')^{-1}(z).
\]

### 4. Model Formulation

We assume that the contributions are continuously paid into the pension fund at the rate of
\[
(l_1 dt + l_2 dW_2(t))L(t)
\]
where \( l_1 \) is the mandatory rate of contribution and \( l_2 \) is the extra contribution rate which is assumed to be stochastic. Let \( V(t) \) denote the wealth of pension fund at time \( t \in [0, T] \). \( \pi_B(t) \) and \( \pi_S(t) \) represent the proportion of the pension fund invested in the bond and the stock respectively. This implies that the proportion of the pension fund invested in the risk-free asset \( \pi_x(t) = 1 - \pi_B(t) - \pi_S(t) \).

The dynamics of the pension wealth are given by
\[
dV(t) = \pi_2 V(t) \frac{dX(t)}{Z(t)} + \pi_B V(t) \frac{dB(t)}{B(t)} + \pi_S V(t) \frac{dS(t)}{S(t)} + (l_1 dt + l_2 dW_2(t))L(t)
\]
Substituting (1), (3) and (4) in to (7) we have
\[
dV(t) = \left( 1 - \pi_B(t) - \pi_S(t) \right) V(t) r(t) dt + \pi_B V(t) \left( r(t) dt + \sigma_B \left( T - t, r(t) \right) dW_1(t) + \lambda_2 \sigma_r dt \right) + \pi_S V(t) \left( r(t) dt + \sigma_S \left( dw_2(t) + \lambda_1 dt + n_1 \sigma_r \left( dw_1(t) + \lambda_2 \sigma_r dt \right) \right) \right) + (l_1 dt + l_2 dW_2(t))L(t)
\]
Simplifying (18) we have
When it is time for retirement the contributor will be interested in preserving his standard of living and will be interested in his retirement income relative to his predetermined salary. If we consider the contributor salary as a numeraire. Let the relative wealth be defined as follows:
\[
X(t) = \frac{V(t)}{L(t)}
\]
Applying product rule and Ito’s formula to (10) and making use of (6) and (9) we arrive at the following equation:
\[
dX(t) = X(r(t) - \mu_t + n_2^2 \sigma_r^2 + n_3^2 \sigma_s^2 + \sigma_B \pi_B \sigma_r (\lambda_2 - n_2) + \pi_s (\lambda_1 \sigma_s + n_2 \sigma_r^2 n_1) + n_1 n_2 \sigma_r^2) dt + (l_1 - \pi_s n_3 l_2) dW_1(t) + X(\pi_B \pi_B + n_1 \sigma_r \pi_s - n_2 \sigma_r) dW_2(t)
\]
\[
\theta_1 = r(t) - \mu_t + n_2^2 \sigma_r^2 + n_3^2 \sigma_s^2
\]
\[
\theta_2 = \sigma_B \sigma_r (\lambda_2 - n_2)
\]
\[
\theta_3 = \lambda_1 \sigma_s + n_2 \sigma_r^2 n_1 + \sigma_s^2 n_3 - n_1 n_2 \sigma_r^2
\]
The Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) equation associated with the optimization problem is:
\[
H_t + (a - br)H_r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_r^2 H_{rr} + \sup_{\pi_B, \pi_s} \left( \left(x(\theta_1 + \pi_B \theta_2 + \pi_s \theta_3) \right) + (l_1 - \pi_s n_3 l_2) \right) H_x + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\sigma_B}{\sigma_B} \right) H_{xx} + x(\pi_B - \pi_s) H_{xx} = 0.
\]
\[
\theta_4 = \frac{\sigma_s n_2 + \lambda_1 n_3 + \frac{l_2 \pi_1}{\sigma_s} \sigma_{\pi_2}}{\sigma_B} + \left( \frac{\theta_4 \sigma_r}{\sigma_B} \right) \frac{\sigma_{x \pi_2}}{\sigma_{xx}} + \left( \frac{\sigma_r}{\sigma_B} \right) \frac{\sigma_{x \pi_2}}{\sigma_{xx}}
\]
Substituting (23), (27), (28), (29) into (17) we have:
\[
H_t + (a - br)H_r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_r^2 H_{rr} + (\tau_0 + (\tau_1 + \tau_2) x) H_x + \left( \tau_3 - \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2 - n_2)^2 \sigma_r^2 \right) H_{xx} + \frac{H_{xx}^2}{H_{xx}} + (\lambda_2 - n_2) \sigma_r^2 H_{rx} - \frac{1}{2} \sigma_r^2 H_{rx} = 0
\]
\[
\tau_0 = l_1 - l_2 \sigma_3 n_3
\]
\( \tau_1 = n_2^2 \sigma_r^2 + n_3^2 \sigma_s^2 + n_3 \lambda_1 \sigma_s + \lambda_2 \sigma_r^2 n_1 n_3 + \sigma_s^2 n_3^2 - n_1 n_2 n_3 \sigma_r^2 - m_L - \frac{n_1 n_3 \sigma_r}{\sigma_B} \)

\( \tau_2 = \frac{n_1 \sigma_r}{\sigma_B} - \lambda_1 \sigma_s - \lambda_2 \sigma_r^2 n_1 - \sigma_s^2 n_3 + n_1 n_2 \sigma_r^2 \)

\( \tau_3 = -\left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma_s^2 n_3^2 + n_3 \sigma_s \lambda_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1^2 \right) \)

Differentiating (13) with respect to \( t, r, \) and \( x \) and substituting into (30)

\( H_t = \hat{H}_t, \quad H_r = \hat{H}_r, \quad H_x = z, H_{rx} = \frac{-\hat{H}_{rx}}{\hat{H}_{xx}}, H_{xx} = \frac{1}{\hat{H}_{xx}}, \quad H_{rr} = \hat{H}_{rr} - \frac{\tilde{H}_r^2}{\hat{H}_{xx}}. \)

\( \hat{H}_t + (a - br) \hat{H}_r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_r^2 \hat{H}_{rr} + (\tau_0 + (\tau_1 + \tau_2) x) z - \left( \tau_3 - \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2 - n_2) \sigma_r^2 \right) z^2 \hat{H}_{zz} + \left( \lambda_2 - n_2 \right) \sigma_r^2 z \hat{H}_{rx} = 0 \) \hspace{1cm} (32)

\( \tau_0 = l_1 - l_2 \sigma_3 n_3 \)

\( \tau_1 = n_2^2 \sigma_r^2 + 2n_3^2 \sigma_s^2 + n_3 \lambda_1 \sigma_s + \lambda_2 \sigma_r^2 n_1 n_3 - n_1 n_2 n_3 \sigma_r^2 - m_L - \frac{n_1 n_3 \sigma_r}{\sigma_B} \)

\( \tau_2 = \frac{n_1 \sigma_r}{\sigma_B} - \lambda_1 \sigma_s - \lambda_2 \sigma_r^2 n_1 - \sigma_s^2 n_3 + n_1 n_2 \sigma_r^2 \)

\( \tau_3 = -\left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma_s^2 n_3^2 + n_3 \sigma_s \lambda_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1^2 \right) \)

Using \( x = h = \hat{H}_z \) and differentiating equation (32) for \( \hat{H} \) with respect to \( z \) we obtain a linear PDE in terms of \( h \) and its derivatives.

\( h_t + (a - br) h_r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_r^2 h_{rr} - \tau_0 - \varphi h - \varphi z h_x + (\lambda_2 - n_2) \sigma_r^2 h_r + (\lambda_2 - n_2) \sigma_r^2 z h_{rx} - 2 \left( \tau_3 - \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2 - n_2) \sigma_r^2 \right) z h_z - \left( \tau_3 - \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2 - n_2) \sigma_r^2 \right) z^2 h_{zz} = 0 \) \hspace{1cm} (33)

Where

\( \varphi = \tau_1 + \tau_2 \)

\( \tau_0 = l_1 - l_2 \sigma_3 n_3 \)

\( \tau_1 = n_2^2 \sigma_r^2 + 2n_3^2 \sigma_s^2 + n_3 \lambda_1 \sigma_s + \lambda_2 \sigma_r^2 n_1 n_3 - n_1 n_2 n_3 \sigma_r^2 - m_L - \frac{n_1 n_3 \sigma_r}{\sigma_B} \)

\( \tau_2 = \frac{n_1 \sigma_r}{\sigma_B} - \lambda_1 \sigma_s - \lambda_2 \sigma_r^2 n_1 - \sigma_s^2 n_3 + n_1 n_2 \sigma_r^2 \)

\( \tau_3 = -\left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma_s^2 n_3^2 + n_3 \sigma_s \lambda_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1^2 \right) \)

\( \pi^*_Z = \frac{1 - \pi^*_B - \pi^*_S}{h_s} \)

\( \pi^*_S = n_3 - \left(\lambda_1 + n_3 \sigma_s \right) \frac{z h_z}{h_s^2} \)

\( \pi^*_B = \frac{n_2 - n_1 n_3 \frac{z h_z}{h_s}}{f(T-t)} + \left(\theta_* \frac{h_r}{h_f(T-t)} \right) z h_z - \left(\frac{h_r}{h_f(T-t)} \right) \)

\( \theta_* = \frac{\sigma_s}{2(\beta - p_1 \lambda_2)} \)

\( f(t) = \frac{m}{m - (b - p_1 \lambda_2) + e^{mt}(m - b - p_1 \lambda_2)}, \quad m = \sqrt{((b - p_1 \lambda_2)^2 + 2p_1} \)

We will now solve (33) for \( h \) and substitute into (34) and (35) to obtain the optimal investment strategies.

5. Explicit Solution of the optimal investment strategies for The CRRA Utility Function

Assume the investor takes a power utility function

\( U(x) = \frac{x^q}{q}, \quad q < 1, \quad q \neq 0 \) \hspace{1cm} (36)
The relative risk aversion of an investor with utility described in (36) is constant and (36) is a CRRA utility.
From (16) we have \( h(T, r, z) = (U')^{-1}(z) \) and from (36) we have
\[
h(T, r, z) = z^{\frac{1}{\eta - 1}}
\]  
We assume a solution to (37) with the following form
\[
h(t, r, z) = v(t, r) \left[ z^{\frac{1}{\eta - 1}} \right] + y(t), \quad y(T) = 0, \quad v(T, s) = 1.
\]
Then
\[
\begin{align*}
  h_t &= v_t z^{\frac{1}{\eta - 1}} + y_t, \\
  h_z &= -\frac{v}{1-q} z^{\frac{1}{\eta - 1}} \\
  h_{zz} &= \frac{(2-q) v}{(1-q)^2} z^{\frac{1}{\eta - 1}}, \\
  h_r &= v_r z^{\frac{1}{\eta - 1}}, \\
  h_{rr} &= v_{rr} z^{\frac{1}{\eta - 1}}.
\end{align*}
\]  
Substituting (38) into (37) we have
\[
\begin{align*}
  &\{v_t + (a - br)v_r - \frac{(\lambda_2 - \eta_2)q \sigma_r^2}{1-q} v_r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_r^2 v_{rr} + \frac{\phi v}{1-q} - \frac{qv}{(1-q)^2} \left( \tau_3 - \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2 - \eta_2)^2 \sigma_r^2 \right) \} z^{\frac{1}{\eta - 1}} \\
  &+ \frac{1}{\eta} (t - \varphi y(t) - \tau_0) = 0
\end{align*}
\]  
Splitting (39) we have
\[
\begin{align*}
  &\{v_t + (a - br)v_r - \frac{(\lambda_2 - \eta_2)q \sigma_r^2}{1-q} v_r + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_r^2 v_{rr} + \frac{\phi v}{1-q} - \frac{qv}{(1-q)^2} \left( \tau_3 - \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2 - \eta_2)^2 \sigma_r^2 \right) \} z^{\frac{1}{\eta - 1}} \\
  &+ \frac{1}{\eta} (t - \varphi y(t) - \tau_0) = 0
\end{align*}
\]  
Solving (40) with the given boundary condition \( y(T) = 0 \)
\[
y(t) = -\frac{\tau_0}{\varphi} \left( 1 - e^{-\varphi(T-t)} \right)
\]  
To solve (41), we conjecture a solution of the form
\[
v(t, r) = P(t) e^{Q(t)r} \quad P(T) = 1, Q(T) = 0
\]  
\[
v_r = P(t) Q(t) e^{N(t)r}, v_{rr} = P(t) Q(t)^2 e^{N(t)r} \quad \text{and} \quad v_t = r P(t) Q(t) e^{Q(t)r} + P(t) e^{Q(t)r}
\]  
Substituting (43) into (41), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
  &\frac{p_t}{P} + \frac{a - ((\lambda_2 - \eta_2)p_1 + a)q}{1-q} Q + \frac{1}{2} p_2 Q^2 + \frac{(\varphi - \tau_3 - \varphi \varphi)}{(1-q)^2} Q + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2 - \eta_2)^2 q p_2 Q + \frac{Q}{1-q}
\end{align*}
\]  
\[
\begin{align*}
  &\frac{1}{2} p_1 Q^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2 - \eta_2)^2 q p_1 Q
\end{align*}
\]  
Splitting (43) we have
\[
\begin{align*}
  &\frac{p_t}{P} + \frac{a - ((\lambda_2 - \eta_2)p_1 + a)q}{1-q} Q + \frac{1}{2} p_2 Q^2 + \frac{(\varphi - \tau_3 - \varphi \varphi)}{(1-q)^2} Q + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2 - \eta_2)^2 q p_2 Q + \frac{Q}{1-q}
\end{align*}
\]  
\[
\begin{align*}
  &\frac{1}{2} p_1 Q^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2 - \eta_2)^2 q p_1 Q
\end{align*}
\]  
\[
Q(t) = \frac{d_1 - d_2 e^{2p_1(d_1 - d_2)(T-t)}}{1 - d_2 e^{2p_1(d_1 - d_2)(T-t)}}
\]
\[
P(T) = e^{\left( \frac{((\lambda_2 - \eta_2)p_1 + a)q - \frac{a}{1-q} Q(t) dt - \frac{1}{2} p_2 Q(t)^2 + q(t) dt - \frac{Q(t) dt}{(1-q)^2} t + c} {1-q} \right)} \quad P(T) = 1
\]
Where
\[
w_1 = \frac{b + ((\lambda_2 - \eta_2)p_1 - b)^2}{(1-q)p_1}
\]
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\[ w_2 = \frac{b + ((\lambda - \eta_2) p_1 - b) q + (b + ((\lambda - \eta_2) p_1 - b) q)^2 - (\lambda - \eta_2)^2 q p_1^2}{(1 - q) p_1} \tag{50} \]

\[ v(r, t) = \exp \left\{ \left( \frac{((\lambda - \eta_2) p_1 + a) q - a}{1 - q} \int \frac{w_2 - w_2 e^{p_1 (w_1 - w_2)}(T - t)}{1 - w_2 e^{p_1 (w_1 - w_2)}} \mathrm{d}t - \frac{1}{2} c_2 \int \frac{w_2 - w_2 e^{p_1 (w_1 - w_2)}(T - t)}{1 - w_2 e^{p_1 (w_1 - w_2)}}^2 \mathrm{d}t \right) \right\} \]

\[ q(\varphi - \tau - q \varphi) \frac{t + C}{(1 - q)^2} + \left( \frac{w_1 - w_2 e^{p_1 (w_1 - w_2)}(T - t)}{1 - w_2 e^{p_1 (w_1 - w_2)}} \right) r \right\} \tag{51} \]

Hence the solution of (33) is given as

\[ h(t, r, z) = \exp \left\{ \left( \frac{((\lambda - \eta_2) p_1 + a) q - a}{1 - q} \int \frac{w_2 - w_2 e^{p_1 (w_1 - w_2)}(T - t)}{1 - w_2 e^{p_1 (w_1 - w_2)}} \mathrm{d}t - \frac{1}{2} p_2 \int \frac{w_2 - w_2 e^{p_1 (w_1 - w_2)}(T - t)}{1 - w_2 e^{p_1 (w_1 - w_2)}}^2 \mathrm{d}t \right) \right\} \]

\[ q(\varphi - \tau - q \varphi) \frac{t + C}{(1 - q)^2} + \left( \frac{w_1 - w_2 e^{p_1 (w_1 - w_2)}(T - t)}{1 - w_2 e^{p_1 (w_1 - w_2)}} \right) r \right\} \left[ \frac{1}{z^q - 1} \right] - \frac{\tau_0}{\varphi} (1 - e^{-\varphi(T - t)}) \tag{52} \]

Proposition 5.1

The optimal investment strategies for cash, bond and stock is given as follows

\[ \pi^* = 1 - \pi^*_B - \pi^*_S \]

\[ \pi^*_S = n_3 - \frac{t_2 \sigma_s}{x} + \left( \frac{\lambda_1 + n_3 \sigma_s^2}{(1 - q) x} \right) + \left( \frac{\lambda_1 + n_3 \sigma_s^2}{x (1 - q)} \right) \left[ \frac{(1 - \varphi(T - t))}{\varphi} \right] \]

\[ \pi^*_B = \frac{1}{f(T - t)} \left( n_2 - n_3 + \frac{t_2 \eta_1}{\sigma_s x^2} - \frac{1}{(1 - q) \sigma_s x \varphi} \right) \left( \theta_4 + (1 - q) Q(t) \right) \left( 1 - e^{-\varphi(T - t)} \right) \]

\[ \theta_4 = \frac{\sigma_s n_2 + n_1 \lambda_1 + n_1 n_3 \sigma_s^2 + \lambda_2 \sigma_s^2}{\sigma_s} \]

\[ h(t, r, z) = v(t, r) \left[ \frac{1}{z^q - 1} \right] + y(t), \]

\[ y(t) = -\frac{\tau_0}{\varphi} (1 - e^{-\varphi(T - t)}) \]

\[ \varphi = \tau_1 + \tau_2 \]

\[ \tau_0 = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 \sigma_s}{\sigma_s} \]

\[ \tau_1 = \frac{n_2^2 \sigma_r^2 + 2 n_3^2 \sigma_s^2 + n_3 \lambda_1 \sigma_s + \lambda_2 \sigma_r^2 n_1 n_3 - n_1 n_2 n_3 \sigma_r^2 - m_L - \frac{n_1 n_3 \sigma_r}{\sigma_B}}{\sigma_s} \]

\[ \tau_2 = \frac{n_1 \sigma_r}{\sigma_B} - \lambda_1 \sigma_s - \lambda_2 \sigma_r^2 n_1 - \sigma_s^2 n_3 + n_1 n_2 \sigma_r^2 \]

\[ \tau_3 = -\left( \frac{1}{2} \sigma_s^2 n_3^2 + n_3 \sigma_s \lambda_1 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1^2 \right) \]

\[ h_r = \frac{1}{z^{q - 1}} \frac{1}{1 - q} \]

\[ h_z = -\frac{1}{z^{q - 1}} \frac{1}{1 - q} \]

\[ v(t, r) = P(t) e^0(t) r \]

\[ v_r = P(t) Q(t) e^0(t) r \]
\[ Q(t) = \frac{w_1 - w_2 e^{2p_1(w_1 - w_2)(T-t)}}{1 - \frac{w_1}{w_2} e^{2p_1(w_1 - w_2)(T-t)}} \]

\[ P(t) = e^{\int \frac{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_2 n_2) q - a - \int (w_1 - w_2 e^{2p_1(w_1 - w_2)(T-t)}) dt \frac{1}{2} p_2 \int (w_1 - w_2 e^{2p_1(w_1 - w_2)(T-t)})^2 dt - \int \frac{q(\varphi - \tau_3 - \varphi)}{(1-q)^2}(t + C)}{m - (b - p_1 \lambda_2) e^{m(t - m - b + p_1 \lambda_2)}} \]
Proof

Since \( \pi_{S_1}^* < \pi_S^* \) and \( \pi_{B_1}^* < \pi_B^* \) then,

\[ \pi_{S_1}^* + \pi_{B_1}^* < \pi_S^* + \pi_B^* \]

\[ 1 - (\pi_{S_1}^* + \pi_{B_1}^*) > 1 - (\pi_S^* + \pi_B^*) \]

\[ 1 - \pi_{S_1}^* - \pi_{B_1}^* > 1 - \pi_S^* - \pi_B^* \]

But \( \pi_{Z_1}^* = 1 - \pi_{S_1}^* - \pi_{B_1}^* \) and \( \pi_Z^* = 1 - \pi_S^* - \pi_B^* \), therefore

\[ \pi_{Z_1}^* > \pi_Z^* \]

Remark 6.2

Suppose \( l_2 = 0 \) then the strategies in proposition (5.1) is without the extra contribution hence the required strategies are as given below

\[ \pi_{S_2}^* = n_3 + \left( \frac{\lambda_1 + n_2 \sigma_S^2}{(1-q)\sigma_S} \right) + \left( \frac{\lambda_1 + n_2 \sigma_S^2}{(1-q)\sigma_S} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{x} \left( \frac{(1-e^{-\varphi(T-t)})}{\varphi} \right) \right] \]

\[ \pi_{B_2}^* = \frac{1}{f(T-t)} \left( n_2 - n_1 n_3 \right) - \frac{1}{(1-q)} \left( \frac{x \varphi + (l_1)}{x \varphi} \right) \left( \theta_4 + (1 - q) Q(t) \right) \left( (1 - e^{-\varphi(T-t)}) \right) \]

The result above is similar to that in [2]

Case 1

If \( n_3 > 0, \lambda_1 > 0, \sigma_S > 0, 0 < q < 1, \varphi > 0, l > 0, l_2 = 0 \) then \( \pi_{S_2}^* < \pi_S^* \)

Case 2

If \( (n_2 - n_1 n_3) > 0, f(T-t) > 0, \lambda_1 > 0, \sigma_S > 0, 0 < q < 1, \varphi > 0, l > 0, Q(t) > 0, l_2 = 0 \) then \( \pi_{B_2}^* < \pi_B^* \)

Case 3

If \( (n_2 - n_1 n_3) < 0, f(T-t) > 0, \lambda_1 > 0, \sigma_S > 0, 0 < q < 1, \varphi > 0, l > 0, Q(t) > 0, l_2 = 0 \) then \( \pi_{B_2}^* > \pi_B^* \)

Proposition 6.2

Suppose \( \pi_{S_2}^* < \pi_S^* \) and \( \pi_{B_2}^* < \pi_B^* \) then \( \pi_{Z_2}^* > \pi_Z^* \)

Proof

Since \( \pi_{S_2}^* < \pi_S^* \) and \( \pi_{B_2}^* < \pi_B^* \) then,

\[ \pi_{S_2}^* + \pi_{B_2}^* < \pi_S^* + \pi_B^* \]
1 \times (\pi_S^* + \pi_B^*) > 1 - \pi_S^* \pi_B^*

1 - \pi_S^* \pi_B^* > 1 - \pi_S^* \pi_B^*

But \pi_S^* = 1 - \pi_S^* \pi_B^* and \pi_B^* = 1 - \pi_S^* \pi_B^*, therefore
\pi_S^* > \pi_B^*

Proposition 6.1, shows that with non stochastic salary, the optimal investment strategies for bond and stock increases with extra contribution while that of cash decreases with extra contribution. Similarly proposition 6.2, shows that with stochastic salary, the optimal investment strategies for bond and stock increases with extra contribution while that of cash decreases with extra contribution. In general we observed that extra contribution to the pension fund has an effect on the optimal investment strategies in cash, bond and stock. The analysis shows that the plan member will increase the proportion of his wealth to be invested in bond and stock and will reduce the proportion to be invested in cash.

Conclusion

We studied optimal investment strategy for a plan contributor in a defined pension scheme, with stochastic salary and stochastic extra contributions, under the affine interest rate model. We took into consideration the Nigerian Pension Reform Act of 2004 which allow members to contribute another proportion of their income into the pension account different from the compulsory contribution. We also considered three different investment which include cash, zero coupon bond) and the stock. By using Legendre transformation method and dual theory we obtained the optimal investment strategies for the three investments under the exponential utility function. We observed that extra contribution to the pension fund has an effect on the optimal investment strategies. The result shows that the pension member will increase the proportion of his wealth to be invested in bond and stock while reducing the proportion to be invested in cash.
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