

General Letters in Mathematics (GLM)

Journal Homepage: https://www.refaad.com/Journal/Index/1



Set-fuzzy-set-norm Variation of Set-fuzzy-set Multifunctions

Marzieh Mostafavia,*

^aDepartment of Mathematics, University of Qom, Qom, Iran.

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$, the collection of all finite sets of fuzzy subsets in $\mathfrak{F}_{bc}(\mathbb{R}^p)$, which is the class of the upper semicontinuous fuzzy subsets of \mathbb{R}^p with bounded convex compact closure of the supports. Then we define set-fuzzy-set multifunctions and discuss various kinds of them such as monotone, fuzzy measure, subadditive, null-additive, null-additive, multisubmeasure and null-continuous. We introduce the set-fuzzy-set-norm variation of a set-fuzzy-set multifunction and present some properties between a set-fuzzy-set multifunction and its variations such as fuzzyness, continuity from below, null-additivity, and null-null-additivity.

Keywords: Fuzzy-set-norm, Fuzzy measure, Subadditive, Set-fuzzy-set multifunctions, Set-fuzzy-set-norm variation. 2010 MSC: MSC code1, MSC code2, more.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Set-valued analysis and non-additive measures have been intensively studied by many authors such as Aletti, Bongiorno and Capasso [3], Apreutesei [4], Aumann and Shapley [6], Chitescu [7], Choquet [8], Croitoru et al. [9, 10, 11, 13, 14], Dempster [16], Dubois and Prade [19], Gavrilut et al. [22, 21, 24] Pap [28], Papageorgiou [29], Precupanu et al. [30, 31], Shafer [33], Stamate [35], Sugeno [34], and Wen et al. [37].

Non-additive set multifunctions and fuzzy sets have been discussed by many authors due to its applications in statistics, economy, theory of games, and human decision making. For example Asahina [5], Choquet [8], Daneshgar and Hashemi [15], Denneberg [17], Drewnowski [18], Dubois and Prade [19], Funiokova [20], Li [26], Merghadi and Aliouche [27], Pap [28], Precupanu [30], Shafer [33], Sugeno [35], Suzuki [36], Zadeh [23], Vaezpour and Karimi [37], Wen, Shi and Li [38], Wu and Bo [39], Gavrilut et al. [21, 24] extended the concepts of pseudo-atom, Darboux property, continuity, exhaustivity, and regularity, to the set-valued case. In [23], properties of set-norm exhaustive set multifunctions which are used in control, robotics, decision theory or in statistical inference are studied (Dempster [16]). The theory of set multifunctions implies serious and delicate problems, and it can not be reduced to the case of set functions (see Remark 2.7 of [12]).

As a recent research, Akram [1] introduced the notion of m-polar fuzzy matroids and discussed certain applications of m-polar fuzzy matroids in decision support systems, ordering of machines and network analysis. He presented in [2] certain metrics in m-polar fuzzy graphs including antipodal m-polar fuzzy graphs and self median m-polar fuzzy graph of given m-polar fuzzy graphs. Sarwar and Akram[35]

Email address: Mostafavi_mmostafavi14279@gmail.com (Marzieh Mostafavi)

doi:10.31559/glm2023.13.1.3

Received Received: 8 Feb 2023 Revised: 25 Mar 2023 Accepted: 18 Apr 2023

^{*}Corresponding author

introduced the concept of bipolar fuzzy matroids and applied it to graph theory and linear algebra. They also described certain applications of bipolar fuzzy matroids in decision support system and network analysis.

While all of the researches about the set multifunctions focused on ordinary sets, in this paper, we demonstrate the possibility of improving current definitions using the finite sets of fuzzy sets and introduce a set-fuzzy-set multifunction and its set-fuzzy-set-norm variations.

This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we define fuzzy-set-norm on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$, the family of all fuzzy subsete of \mathbb{R}^p . According to Due Gil et. al. [25], we consider the class of the upper semicontinuous fuzzy subsets of \mathbb{R}^p with bounded convex compact closure of the supports denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{bc}(\mathbb{R}^p)$. As an useful example, we introduce the fuzzy-set norm $|\cdot|_a$ on $\mathcal{F}_{bc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. In section 3, we deal with $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ which denotes the collection of all finite sets of fuzzy subsets in $\mathcal{F}_{bc}(\mathbb{R}^p)$. We prove any fuzzy-set-norm on $\mathcal{F}_{bc}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ induces a set-fuzzy-set-norm on $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$. In Section 4, we consider a nontrivial fuzzy subset T of M and a ring \mathfrak{C} of fuzzy subsets less than or equal to T. We define various kinds of set-fuzzy-set multifunction $\mu: \mathfrak{C} \to (\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p), \leqslant, |\cdot|)$ such as monotone, fuzzy measure, subadditive, null-additive, null-additive, multisubmeasure and null-continuous. We prove four theorems about the relations between them and basic results. In section 5, we install the set-fuzzy-set-norm variation of a set-fuzzy-set multifunction and present some properties between a set-fuzzy-set multifunction and its variation such as fuzzyness, continuity from below, nulladditivity, and null-null-additivity.

2. Fuzzy-set-norms

Consider the p-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^p and |.| as the usual associated norm. Zadeh [40] denoted by $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^p)$, the class of the nonempty compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^p and by $\mathcal{K}_{bc}(\mathbb{R}^p)$, the subclass of bounded convex sets in $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^p)$. The space $\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ can be represented by a semilinear structure using the Minkowski sum and the product by a scalar $K + K' = \{k + k \mid k \in K, k' \in K'\}$, $\alpha K = \{\alpha k \mid k \in K\}$, for $K, K' \in \mathcal{K}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{F}_{bc}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ Due Gil et. al. [25], the class of the upper semicontinuous fuzzy subsets of \mathbb{R}^p with bounded convex compact closure of the support.

$$\mathfrak{F}_{bc}(\mathbb{R}^p) = \{ E : \mathbb{R}^p \to [0,1] \mid E_\alpha \in \mathfrak{K}_{bc}(\mathbb{R}^p), \forall \alpha \in [0,1] \}$$

The space $\mathcal{F}_{bc}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ can be endowed with a semilinear structure by means of the sum and the product by a scalar based on Zadeh's extension principle [40]. Applying this principle for elements in $\mathcal{F}_{bc}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ states that

$$(E+F)(x) = \sup_{y+z=x} \min\{E(y), F(z)\},$$
(2.1)

$$(\alpha E)(x) = \sup_{\alpha y = x} E(y) = \begin{cases} E(\frac{1}{\alpha}x), & \text{if } \alpha \neq 0 \\ 1_{\{0\}}(x), & \text{if } \alpha = 0 \end{cases}$$
 (2.2)

for $E, F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.1. A function $|\cdot|: \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^p) \to [0, +\infty]$ is called a fuzzy-set-norm on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ if it satisfies the conditions:

- i) $|E| = 0 \Leftrightarrow E = \tilde{0}$, for $E \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$;
- ii) $|\alpha E| = |\alpha||E|$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $E \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$;
- iii) |E + F| < |E| + |F|, $\forall E, F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$.

Example 2.2. If $(\mathbb{R}^p, \| \ \|)$ is a normed space, then the function

$$|\mathsf{E}|_{\mathsf{s}} = \sup\{\|\mathsf{x}\| : \; \mathsf{x} \in \mathsf{suppE}\}$$

is a fuzzy-set-norm on $(\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p), \leqslant)$, called the supremum fuzzy-set-norm on $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$.

Definition 2.3. A fuzzy-set-norm |.| on $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ is called monotone if for every sets $E, F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$, we have $E \leq F \Rightarrow |E| \leq |F|$.

We denote $(\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p), \leq, |.|)$ when $(\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p), \leq)$ is endowed with a monotone fuzzy-set-norm |.|.

Example 2.4. We define the fuzzy-set-norm $|.|_a$ on $\mathcal{F}_{bc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by

$$|E|_{\alpha} = \iint_{\text{supp}E} E(x, y) dxdy.$$

Since $E(x,y) \in [0,1]$ and suppE is a bounded convex compact subset of \mathbb{R}^2 , this integral equals to a nonnegative real number. We show that $|.|_{\alpha}$ satisfies three conditions of Def. 2.2:

- i) It is clear that $|E|_{\alpha} = 0$ if and only if supp $E = \phi$ and or $E = \tilde{0}$.
- ii), iii) Since for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $E, F \in \mathcal{F}_{bc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we define $(\alpha E)(x) = \alpha E(x)$ and (E+F)(x) = E(x) + F(x), hence $\mathrm{supp}(\alpha E)$ and $\mathrm{supp}(E+F)$ are equal to the bounded convex compact subsets $\mathrm{supp}E$ and $\mathrm{supp}E \cup \mathrm{supp}F$ respectively. By using linearity of integration, we see (ii) and (iii) hold. Also for every fuzzy subsets $E, F \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$E \leqslant F \Rightarrow supp E \subseteq supp F \Rightarrow |E|_{\alpha} \leqslant |F|_{\alpha}$$
.

Therefore, $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a monotone fuzzy-set-norm on $(\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^2), \leqslant)$.

3. Set-fuzzy-set-norms

The largest set class of set of fuzzy set values, we will deal with in this paper, is $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$, which denotes the collection of all finite sets of fuzzy subsets

$$\tilde{\mathsf{E}} = \big\{ \mathsf{E}_{\mathfrak{i}} \mid \mathsf{E}_{\mathfrak{i}} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathsf{bc}}(\mathbb{R}^{\mathsf{p}}), \quad 1 \leqslant \mathfrak{i} \leqslant \mathsf{k} \big\}. \tag{3.1}$$

We denote $(\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p), \leqslant)$ since we consider the usual fuzzy set inclusion \subseteq as an order \leqslant on $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$. The space $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ can be endowed with a semilinear structure by the following defined sum and the product by a scalar:

1) Using (2.1), we define every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and each $\tilde{E} \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ in the form (3.1),

$$\alpha \tilde{\mathsf{E}} = \big\{ \alpha \mathsf{E}_{\mathfrak{i}} \mid \mathsf{E}_{\mathfrak{i}} \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{bc}}(\mathbb{R}^p), \quad 1 \leqslant \mathfrak{i} \leqslant k \big\}.$$

2) Using (2.2), we define each $\tilde{E} \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ in the form (3.1) and each \tilde{F} in the form

$$\tilde{F} = \big\{ F_{\mathfrak{i}} \mid F_{\mathfrak{i}} \in \mathfrak{F}_{\mathfrak{bc}}(\mathbb{R}^p), \quad 1 \leqslant \mathfrak{i} \leqslant k' \big\}.$$

Without loss of generality, assume that $k \le k'$

$$\tilde{E} + \tilde{F} = \{ E_i + F_i, \ \tilde{0} + F_i \mid E_i \in \tilde{E}, \ F_i, F_i \in \tilde{F}, \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k, \ k+1 \leqslant j \leqslant k' \}.$$

Definition 3.1. A function $|\cdot|:\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)\to [0,+\infty]$ is called a set-fuzzy-set-norm on $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ if it satisfies the conditions:

- i) $|\tilde{\mathsf{E}}| = 0 \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\mathsf{E}} = \{\tilde{\mathsf{0}}\}, \text{ for } \tilde{\mathsf{E}} \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p);$
- ii) $|\alpha \tilde{\mathsf{E}}| = |\alpha| |\tilde{\mathsf{E}}|$, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\tilde{\mathsf{E}} \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$;

iii) $|\tilde{E} + \tilde{F}| < |\tilde{E}| + |\tilde{F}|, \ \forall \tilde{E}, \tilde{F} \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p).$

Lemma 3.2. Let $|\cdot|$ be a fuzzy-set-norm on $\mathfrak{F}_{bc}(\mathbb{R}^p)$. We have an induced set-fuzzy-set-norm on $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p)$ defined by

$$|\tilde{E}| = \sum_{i=1}^{k} |E_i|$$

for each $\tilde{E} = \{ E_i \mid E_i \in \mathcal{F}_{bc}(\mathbb{R}^p), \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k \}.$

Proof. Since the finite sum of several non-negative real numbers is a non-negative real number, this norm is well defined. Also we show that it satisfies the conditions of Def. 2.2: i)

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{E}| &= 0 \iff |E_i| = 0, \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k \\ \Leftrightarrow \ E_i &= \tilde{0}, \ 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k \\ \Leftrightarrow \ E &= \{\tilde{0}\} \end{split}$$

ii) Since $|\alpha E_i| = |\alpha||E_i|$, for all $1 \le i \le k$, we have

$$|\alpha \tilde{\mathsf{E}}| = \sum_{i=1}^k |\alpha \mathsf{E}_i| = \sum_{i=1}^k |\alpha| |\mathsf{E}_i| = |\alpha| \sum_{i=1}^k |\mathsf{E}_i| = |\alpha| |\tilde{\mathsf{E}}|$$

$$\begin{split} \text{iii)} \\ |\tilde{E} + \tilde{F}| &= \sum_{i=1}^{k} |E_i + F_i| + \sum_{j=k+1}^{k'} |\tilde{0} + F_j| \\ &\leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{k} |E_i| + |F_i| + \sum_{j=k+1}^{k'} |\tilde{0}| + |F_j| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k} |E_i| + |F_i| + \sum_{j=k+1}^{k'} |0 + |F_j| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k} |E_i| + \sum_{i=1}^{k'} |F_i| \\ &= |\tilde{E}| + |\tilde{F}| \end{split}$$

4. Set-fuzzy-set multifunctions

Let T be a nontrivial fuzzy subset of M, then any fuzzy subset of M, which is less than or equal to T, is called an fuzzy subset of T. Let $\mathfrak C$ be a ring of fuzzy subsets of T and F(T) be the family of all fuzzy subsets of T.

Definition 4.1. A set-fuzzy-set multifunction $\mu: \mathfrak{C} \to (\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p), \leqslant_{\ell} |.|)$ is called:

- (i) monotone if $\mu(A) \leq \mu(B)$ for every $A, B \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $A \leq B$.
- (ii) fuzzy measure if μ is monotone and $\mu(\tilde{0}) = \{\tilde{0}\}.$
- (iii) subadditive if $\mu(A \cup B) \leq \mu(A) + \mu(B)$ for every A, B $\in \mathfrak{C}$.
- (iv) null-additive if for every $A, B \in \mathfrak{C}, \ \mu(B) = \mu(\tilde{0}) \ \Rightarrow \ \mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A).$
- (v) null-null-additive if for every $A, B \in \mathfrak{C}, \mu(A) = \mu(B) = \mu(\tilde{0}) \implies \mu(A \cup B) = \mu(\tilde{0}).$
- (vi) multisubmeasure if μ is fuzzy and subadditive.

(vii) null-continuous if $\mu(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}A_n)=\{\tilde{0}\}$ for every sequence $(A_n)_{n\in N^*}\subset \mathfrak{C}$ such that $A_n\subseteq A_{n+1}$ and $\mu(A_n)=\{\tilde{0}\}$ for every $n\in N^*$.

Theorem 4.2. *The following concepts are true:*

- (i) If μ is a multisubmeasure, then μ is null-additive.
- (ii) If μ is null-additive, then μ is null-null-additive.

Proof. (i) Assume that μ is multisubmeasure. Let $A, B \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mu(B) = \mu(\tilde{0})$ then

$$\mu(A \cup B) \leqslant \mu(A) + \mu(B) = \mu(A) + \mu(\tilde{0}) = \mu(A) + \tilde{0} = \mu(A)$$

Since μ is monotone, $\mu(A) \leqslant \mu(A \cup B)$. Therefore $\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A)$. So μ is null-additive.

(ii) Assume that μ is null-additive. Let $A, B \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mu(A) = \mu(B) = \mu(\tilde{0})$, then

$$\mu(A \cup B) = \mu(A) = \mu(\tilde{0})$$

Therefore μ is null-null-additive.

Definition 4.3. A set-fuzzy-set multifunction $\mu: \mathfrak{C} \to (\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p), \leqslant, |.|)$ is called:

- (i) fuzzy-set-norm exhaustive (shortly, fsn-exhaustive) if $\lim_{n\to\infty} |\mu(A_n)| = 0$ for every mutually disjoint sequence of sets $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}\subset\mathfrak{C}$.
- (ii) fuzzy-set-norm continuous (shortly, fsn-continuous) if $\lim_{n\to\infty} |\mu(A_n)| = 0$ for every $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathfrak{C}$ such that $A_n \searrow \tilde{0}$ (i.e. $A_n \supseteq A_{n+1}$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}^*$ and $\bigcap_{n=0}^\infty A_n = \tilde{0}$).
- (iii) fsn-continuous from below if $|\mu(\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}A_n)|=\lim_{n\to\infty}|\mu(A_n)|$ for every $(A_n)_{n\in N^*}\subset\mathfrak{C}$ with $A_n\subseteq A_{n+1}$ for all $n\in N^*$ (denoted by $A_n\nearrow A$) where $A=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty}A_n$.
- (iv) strongly fsn-continuous if $\lim_{n\to\infty} |\mu(A_n)| = 0$ for every $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathfrak{C}$ such that $A_n \supseteq A_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\mu(\bigcap_{n=0}^\infty A_n) = \{\tilde{0}\}.$

Definition 4.4. \mathfrak{C} is a σ -ring if the following conditions are met:

- (i) $A \setminus B \in \mathfrak{C}$ for every $A, B \in \mathfrak{C}$,
- (ii) $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \in \mathfrak{C}$ for every $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathfrak{C}$.

Theorem 4.5. If $\mathfrak C$ is a σ -ring and $\mu: \mathfrak C \to (\mathfrak F(\mathbb R^p), \leqslant, \mid . \mid)$ is fuzzy measure and fsn-continuous, then μ is fsn-exhaustive.

Proof. Let $(A_n)_{n\in N^*}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets of $\mathfrak C$ and let $B_n=\bigcup_{k=n}^\infty A_k$ for all $n\in N^*$. Then $B_n\in \mathfrak C$ for every $n\in N^*$ and $B_n\searrow \tilde 0$. Since μ is fsn-continuous, then $\lim_{n\to\infty}|\mu(B_n)|=0$, thus $\lim_{n\to\infty}|\mu(A_n)|=0$. So μ is fsn-exhaustive.

Theorem 4.6. Let \mathfrak{C} be a σ -ring and $\mu: \mathfrak{C} \to (\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p), \leqslant, |.|)$ be fuzzy measure. If μ is null-null-additive and strongly fsn-continuous, then μ is null-continuous.

Proof. Let $(A_n)_{n\in N^*}\subset \mathfrak{C}$ such that $A_n\subseteq A_{n+1}$ and $\mu(A)=\{\tilde{0}\}$ for all $n\in N^*$. Denote $A=\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty A_n$. We define a subsequence (A_{n_k}) of (A_n) as follows. Let $n_1=1$. For every $k\in N^*$ since $\mu(A_{n_k})=\{\tilde{0}\}$ and $A_{n_k}\cup (A\setminus A_n)\searrow A_{n_k}$, when $n\to\infty$, by the fact that μ is strongly fsn-continuous, we can choose n_{k+1} so that $n_{k+1}>n_k$ and

$$\mid \mu \big(A_{n_k} \cup (A \backslash A_{n_{k+1}}) \big) \mid < \frac{1}{k}$$

Denote $B = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (A_{n_{2k}} \setminus A_{n_{2k-1}})$ and $C = A \setminus B = A_{n_1} \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (A_{n_{2k+1}} \setminus (A_{n_{2k}}).$

For each $k \in N^*$ since $B \subseteq A_{n_{2k}} \cup (A \setminus (A_{n_{2k+1}})$, so

$$|\mu(B)| \leqslant \mu(A_{n_{2k}} \cup (A \setminus (A_{n_{2k+1}}))) < \frac{1}{2k}$$

It follows $\mu(B)=\{\tilde{0}\}$. For every $k\in N^*$ since $C\subseteq \left(A_{n_{2k-1}}\cup (A\setminus A_{n_{2k}})\right)$, thus

$$\mu(C)\leqslant \mu\big(A_{n_{2k-1}}\cup (A\backslash A_{n_{2k}})\big)<\frac{1}{2k-1}$$

which implies that $\mu(C) = \{\tilde{0}\}$. Since μ is null-null-additive, we obtain $\mu(A) = \mu(B \cup C) = \{\tilde{0}\}$. Hence μ is null-continuous.

Definition 4.7. Let $A \in F(T)$. An \mathfrak{C} -partition of A is set $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of fuzzy subsets of T that satisfies three conditions:

- i) $\tilde{0} \neq A_i \in \mathfrak{C}$,
- ii) $A_i \cap A_j = \tilde{0}, i \neq j, i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$
- iii) $A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i$

Remark 4.8. When we have an ordinary partition $\{D_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of the set suppA, we can set $A_i = A|_{D_i}$ (i.e. $A_i(x) = A(x)$ for all $x \in D$). If $A_i \in \mathfrak{C}$, $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, then we have an \mathfrak{C} -partition of A. Conversly if we have an \mathfrak{C} -partition $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of A, then show in Example 4.9.

Example 4.9. Let $M = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $T : M \to [0, 1]$, T(1) = T(2) = T(3) = 0.8, $\mathfrak{C} = F(T)$. We define the fuzzy set $A : M \to [0, 1]$ by:

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 0.3 & x = 1 \\ 0.7 & x = 2 \\ 1 & x = 3 \end{cases}$$

and the fuzzy sets A_1 , $A_2 : M \rightarrow [0,1]$ by:

$$A_1(x) = \begin{cases} 0.3 & x = 1 \\ 0 & x = 2 \\ 0 & x = 3, \end{cases} \qquad A_2(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x = 1 \\ 0.7 & x = 2 \\ 0 & x = 3. \end{cases}$$

It is seen that

$$A_1(1) + A_2(1) = 0.3 = A(1), \quad A_1(2) + A_2(2) = 0.7 = A(2), \quad A_1(1) + A_2(2) = 0.3 + 0.7 = 1 = A(3).$$

Hence $A_1 + A_2 = A$. Since $A_1 \cap A_2 = \tilde{0}$; therefore $\{A_1, A_2\}$ is an \mathfrak{C} -partition of A. But $\{\text{supp}A_1, \text{supp}A_2\} = \{\{1\}, \{2\}\}$ is not a partition of $\sup A = \{1, 2, 3\}$.

5. Set-fuzzy-set-norm variation

Definition 5.1. For a set-fuzzy-set multifunction $\mu: \mathfrak{C} \to (\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p), \leqslant, |\cdot|)$, the following function is introduced as: $\bar{\mu}: F(T) \to [0, \infty]$,

$$\bar{\mu}(A) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\mu(A_i)| \mid \{A_i\}_{i=1}^{n} \text{ is an } \mathfrak{C} - \text{partition of } A \right\}$$
 (5.1)

for every $A \in F(T)$. $\bar{\mu}$ is called the set-fuzzy-set-norm variation (shortly variation) of μ .

Theorem 5.2. Let $\mu: \mathfrak{C} \to (\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p), \leq, |.|)$ be a set-fuzzy-set multifunction. Then the following statements hold:

- i) $|\mu(A)| \leq \bar{\mu}(A)$ for all $A \in \mathfrak{C}$.
- *ii*) $\bar{\mu}(A) = 0 \Rightarrow |\mu(A)| = 0$, for every $A \in \mathfrak{C}$.
- iii) Furthermore, if μ is monotone, then we also have:

$$|\mu(A)| = 0 \implies \bar{\mu}(A) = 0 \text{ for every } A \in \mathfrak{C}.$$
 (5.2)

- iv) If μ has a finite variation, then μ is fsn-exhaustive.
- v) ū is monotone.
- vi) If $\mu(\tilde{0}) = \tilde{0}$, then $\bar{\mu}(\tilde{0}) = 0$.
- vii) If μ is fuzzy, then $\bar{\mu}$ is fuzzy.
- viii) For each disjoint pair fuzzy subsets $A, B \in F(T)$, we have $\bar{\mu}(A \cup B) \geqslant \bar{\mu}(A) + \bar{\mu}(B)$. But in the case $A \cap B \neq \tilde{0}$, it may be false. So $\bar{\mu}$ is not necesserily superadditive. See Exmaple 5.3(α).
- ix) Suppose $|\cdot|$ is a monotone set-fuzzy-set-norm on $(\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p), \leqslant, |\cdot|)$. If $\mu: \mathfrak{C} \to (\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p), \leqslant, |\cdot|)$ is a fuzzy set-fuzzy-set multifunction and $A \in \mathfrak{C}$ is an atom of μ , then $\bar{\mu}(A) = |\mu(A)|$

Proof. (i) Since $A \in \mathfrak{C}$, $\{A\}$ is an \mathfrak{C} -partition of A. Therefore, (i) holds.

- (ii) This part is a direct result of (i).
- (iii) Let $B_{i=1}^n$ be an $\mathfrak C$ -partition of A. Since μ is monotone, $|\mu(B_i)| \leq |\mu(A)| = 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Hence $\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(B_i)| = 0$. So $\bar{\mu}(A) = 0$ If μ is not monotone, then (4.1) may be false. See Example 5.3(b).
- (iv) Indeed, if μ would not be exhaustive, then there are $\epsilon>0$ and $(A_n)_{n\in N^*}\subset \mathfrak{C}$ such that, $A_n\cap A_m=\varphi(n\neq m)$ so that $|\mu(An)|>\epsilon$ for every $n\in N^*$. Then $|\bar{\mu}(An)|>\epsilon$ for every $n\in N^*$. Since $|\bar{\mu}(A_n)|<\infty$ for every $n\in N^*$, there is \mathfrak{C} -partition $\{B_i^n\}_{i=1}^{m_n}$ of A_n , so that $\sum_{i=1}^{m_n}B_i^n=A_n$ and

$$|\bar{\mu}(A_n)| - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} |\mu(B_i^n)|$$

Thus $\sum_{i=1}^{m_n} |\mu(B^n_i)| > \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, which implies that

$$|\bar{\mu}(T)| > \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} |\mu(B_i^n)| > \frac{m\epsilon}{2}$$

for every $m \in N$. We obtain $|\bar{\mu}(T)| = +\infty$, false.

- (v) Let $A, B \in F(T)$ so that $A \leq B$ and let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an \mathfrak{C} -partition of A. Then $A_i \subseteq B$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and so $\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(A_i)| \leq \bar{\mu}(B)$. Taking the supremum over all \mathfrak{C} -partitions $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$, we obtain $\bar{\mu}(A) \leq \bar{\mu}(B)$.
- vi) If $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be an $\mathfrak C$ -partition of $\tilde 0$. Then $A_i=\tilde 0$ and so $|\mu(A_i)|=0$ for every $i\in\{1,\ldots,n\}$. So $\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(A_i)|=0$. Since $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is arbitrary, it implies that $\bar\mu(\tilde 0)=0$
- (vii) This follows (v) and (vi).

(viii) Let $A,B\in F(T)$. If $\bar{\mu}(A)=+\infty$ or $\bar{\mu}(A)=+\infty$ since $\bar{\mu}$ is monotone, $\bar{\mu}(A\cup B)=+\infty$ and so $\bar{\mu}(A\cup B)\geqslant \bar{\mu}(A)+\bar{\mu}(B)$.

Suppose $\bar{\mu}(A) < +\infty$ and $\bar{\mu}(B) < +\infty$. Let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^m$ be \mathfrak{C} -partitions of A and B respectively. Since $A_i \subseteq A \cup B$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $B_j \subseteq A \cup B$ for every $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and $A \cap B = \tilde{0}$, hence $\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(A_i)| + \sum_{j=1}^m |\mu(B_i)| \leqslant \bar{\mu}(A \cup B)$. Taking the supremum firstly over $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and secondly over $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^m$ we obtain $\bar{\mu}(A) + \bar{\mu}(B) \leqslant \bar{\mu}(A \cup B)$.

(ix) Recal that (see [11, 24]) that $A \in \mathfrak{C}$ is called an atom of μ if $\mu(A) > \mu(\varphi)$ and for every $B \in \mathfrak{C}$ with $B \subseteq A$, we have $\mu(B) = \mu(\varphi)$ or $\mu(A \setminus B) = \mu(\varphi)$.

We prove that $\bar{\mu}(A) \leqslant |\mu(A)|$. Let $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n \subseteq \mathfrak{C}$ be an arbitrary \mathfrak{C} -partition of A, where $n \in N^*$. If $\mu(B_i) = \tilde{0}$, for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, then $\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(B_i)| = 0 \leqslant |\mu(A)|$.

Without loss of generality assume that $\mu(B_1) > \tilde{0}$ and $\mu(B_2) > \tilde{0}$. Since A is an atom of μ , $\mu(A \setminus B_1) = \{\tilde{0}\}$. Since $B_2 \subseteq A \setminus B_1$ and μ is fuzzy, it follows $\mu(B_2) = \{\tilde{0}\}$, false. Thus there exists an unique $i_0 \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\mu(B_{i_0}) > \{\tilde{0}\}$. Since $B_i \subseteq A \setminus B_{i_0}$ and μ is fuzzy, it results $\mu(B_i) = \{\tilde{0}\}$, for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus \{i_0\}$. So $\sum_{i=1}^n |\mu(B_i)| = |\mu(B_{i_0})| \le |\mu(A)|$. Since $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $\mu(A) \le |\mu(A)|$. Using now Theorem 5.2(i), it results $\bar{\mu}(A) = |\mu(A)|$.

Example 5.3. Let $M = \{1,2\}$, $T : M \to [0,1]$, T(1) = T(2) = 0.9, $\mathfrak{C} = F(T)$. We define $\mu : \mathfrak{C} \to (\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}), \leqslant, |.|_s)$ by

$$\mu(A) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \tilde{0} & \text{supp}A = \varphi \text{ or supp}A = M \\ \chi_{\scriptscriptstyle [1,3]} & \text{supp}A = \{1\} \\ \chi_{\scriptscriptstyle [2,4]} & \text{supp}A = \{2\}. \end{array} \right.$$

We have

$$|\mu(A)|_s = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{supp}A = \varphi \text{ or supp}A = M \\ 3 & \text{supp}A = \{1\} \\ 4 & \text{supp}A = \{2\} \end{array} \right.$$

We define the fuzzy set D, B : $M \rightarrow [0,1]$, by:

$$D(x) = \begin{cases} 0.2 & x = 1 \\ 0.7 & x = 2 \end{cases} \quad B(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x = 1 \\ 0.5 & x = 2 \end{cases}$$

and the fuzzy sets A_1 , $A_2 : M \rightarrow [0,1]$ by:

$$A_1(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0.2 & x = 1 \\ 0 & x = 2 \end{array} \right. \quad A_2(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & x = 1 \\ 0.7 & x = 2 \end{array} \right.$$

a) It is seen that $\{A_1, A_2\}$ is an \mathfrak{C} -partition of D and $\{B\}$ is an \mathfrak{C} -partition of B. But

$$\bar{\mu}(A) + \bar{\mu}(B) = |\mu(A_1)|_s + |\mu(A_2)|_s + |\mu(B)|_s = 3 + 4 + 4 = 11 \ > \ 7 = \bar{\mu}(A \cup B).$$

- b) It is seen that μ is not monotone: $A_1 \leqslant A$ but $\mu(A_1) = \chi_{{}_{[1,3]}} \geqslant \mu(A) = \{\tilde{0}\}.$
- c) It is seen that (4.1) is false: $\mu(A) = \{\tilde{0}\}$ but $\bar{\mu}(A) = 7$.

Theorem 5.4. Let \mathfrak{C} is a σ -ring and $\mu: \mathfrak{C} \to (\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^p), \leqslant, |.|)$ a set-fuzzy-set multifunction

- I) If μ is continuous from below, then $\bar{\mu}$ is continuous from below on \mathfrak{C} .
- II) If μ is a multisubmeasure of finite variation and μ is continuous from below on $\mathfrak C$ then $\bar\mu$ is continuous from below.

Proof. I. Let $(A_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}^*}\subset\mathfrak{C}$ so that, $A_n\nearrow A$ where $A=\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty A_n$. We show that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\bar{\mu}(A_n)=\bar{\mu}(A).$$

Let $\{B_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be an \mathfrak{C} -partition of A, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu(B_i)| = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(|\mu(B_i)| - |\mu(B_i \cap A_n)| \right) + \sum_{i=1}^m |\mu(B_i \cap A_n)| \\ \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^m \left(|\mu(B_i)| - |\mu(B_i \cap A_n)| \right) + \bar{\mu}(A_n) \end{array}$$

Since $B_i \cap A_n \nearrow B_i$ and μ is continuous from below for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, there is $n_i(\epsilon)$, $n_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ so that $0 \leqslant |\mu(B_i)| - |\mu(B_i \cap A_n)| < \frac{\epsilon}{2^{i+1}}$ for every $n > n_i$. Let $n_0 = \max_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant m} \ n_i$. Then for all $n > n_0$ we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |\mu(B_i)| = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\epsilon}{2^i} + \bar{\mu}(A_n) < \epsilon + \bar{\mu}(A_n)$$

Since B_i is arbitrary, we obtain $\bar{\mu}(A) < \varepsilon + \bar{\mu}(A_n)$ for every $n > n_0$. This shows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\bar{\mu}(A_n)=\bar{\mu}(A)$$

Therefore, $\bar{\mu}$ is continuous from below on \mathfrak{C} .

II. Let $(A_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathfrak{C}$ so that, $A_n \nearrow A$. Since $\bar{\mu}$ is superadditive, we have:

$$\bar{\mu}(A) = \bar{\mu}\big((A \backslash A_n) \cup A_n\big) \geqslant \bar{\mu}(A \backslash A_n) + \bar{\mu}(A_n)$$

Since μ is a multisubmeasure, we have:

$$|\mu(A)| \leq |\mu(A \setminus A_n)| + |\mu(A_n)|$$

This implies

$$0 \leq |\mu(A)| - |\mu(A_n)| \leq |\mu(A \setminus A_n)| \leq \bar{\mu}(A \setminus A_n) \leq \bar{\mu}(A) - \bar{\mu}(A_n)$$

Scince $\bar{\mu}$ is continuous from below, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty}\bar{\mu}(A_n)=\bar{\mu}(A)$. It results $\lim_{n\to\infty}|\mu(A_n)|=|\mu(A)|$ and so μ is continuous from below.

Example 5.5. Let $M = \mathbb{R}^{10}$, $T : M \to [0, 1]$,

Let $\mathfrak{C} = F(T)$. We know everyone in Iran has a ten-digit number called national code. So we can assign a fuzzy function to each individual, which is actually a fuzzy point using the same code. For example assume that my national code is 0385234673. Then my fuzzy point will be

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x = 0385234673 \\ 0 & elsewhere \end{cases}$$

Suppose the tax department wants to determine which apartment or house or land each person owns and how much his share is. Therefore, the function $\mu: \mathfrak{C} \to (\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{R}^3), \leqslant, |.|_s)$ assigns to each fuzzy point associated with each person's code, a finite set of fuzzy functions.

$$\mu(A) = \tilde{E} = \big\{ E_{\mathfrak{i}} \mid E_{\mathfrak{i}} \in \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{bc}}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad 1 \leqslant \mathfrak{i} \leqslant k \big\},$$

where each supp E_i denotes an apartment or house or land and E_i shows the share of A. If one person have nt anything, then $\mu(A) = \{\tilde{0}\}$. For example let I have a 150 meter apartment located on the sixth floor which my share is only 0.6, then the fuzzy set E_1 denotes this:

$$\mathsf{E}_1(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{y},z) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0.6 & 1 \leqslant \mathsf{x} \leqslant 10, \ 15 \leqslant \mathsf{y} \leqslant 30, \ z = 18 \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{array} \right.$$

Also, assume that I have a 200-meter plot of land where my share is the whole land. Then the fuzzy set E_2 denotes this:

$$\mathsf{E}_2(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{y},z) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & 10 \leqslant \mathsf{x} \leqslant 30, \ 25 \leqslant \mathsf{y} \leqslant 35, \ z = 0 \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{array} \right.$$

Suppose the function w = g(x, y, z) calculates bramelk taxes in different regions, so $\bar{\mu}$, the set-fuzzy-set-norm variation of μ calculates bramelk taxes of each person. Since each fuzzy point A is an atom of μ , thus by using Theorem 5.2(ix), we have

$$\bar{\mu}(A) = |\mu(A)| \tag{5.3}$$

where

$$|\mu(A)| = \sum_{i=1}^k |E_i|_t$$

and

$$|E_i|_t = \iint_{\text{supp}E_i} g(x, y, z) E(x, y, z) d\sigma.$$
 (5.4)

When $S = \text{supp} E_i$ is a piece of a quadratic surface f(x, y, z) = c, then we define the fuzzy-set-norm $|\cdot|_t$ on $\mathcal{F}_{bc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by:

$$|E_i|_t = \iint_S g(x, y, z) E(x, y, z) d\sigma.$$
 (5.5)

We emphasize that we calculate this surface integral using shadow of S on xy-plane. We can prove almost similar to the proof of Example 2.4 that $|.|_t$ in formula (5.4) or (5.5) satisfies three conditions of Definition 2.2.

References

- [1] M. Akram m-Polar fuzzy graphs: Theory, Methods & Applications, 371 Springer, 2018. 1
- [2] M. Akram, N. Waseem *Certain metrics in m-polar fuzzy graphs*, New Mathematics and Natural Computation, **12** (02) (2016), 135-155. doi.org/10.1142/s1793005716500101 1
- [3] G. Aletti, E. G. Bongiorno, V. Capasso *Statistical aspects of fuzzy monotone set-valued stochastic processes*, Application to birth-and-growth processes, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **160** (2009), 3140-3151. doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2008.12.011 1
- [4] G. Apreutesei Families of subsets and the coincidence of hypertopologies, Ann. Alexandru Ioan Cuza Univ. Math, 49 1 (2003), 1-18. 1
- [5] S. Asahina, K. Uchino, T. Murofushi Relationship among continuity conditions and null-additivity conditions in non-additive measure theory, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 157 5 (2006), 691-698. doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2005.10.017 1
- [6] R. J. Aumann, L. S. Shapley Values of non-atomic games, Princeton University Press, 2015. 1
- [7] I. Chitescu *Finitely purely atomic measures: coincidence and rigidity properties,* Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, **50** (2001), 455-476. doi.org/10.1007/bf02844425 1
- [8] G. Choquet Theory of capacities, Annales de l'institut Fourier. 5 (1954), 131-295. 1
- [9] A. Croitoru, A. Gavrilut, N. L. I. N. A. Mastorakis, G. Gavrilut *On different types of non-additive set multifunctions*, WSEAS Transactions on Mathematics, **8** 6 (2009), 246-257. 1
- [10] A. Croitoru, C. Vaideanu On pointwise measurability of multifunctions, An. Stiint. Univ. Ovidius Constant 17 (2009), 69-75. 1
- [11] A. Croitoru Set-norm continuity of set multifunctions, ROMAI Journal, 6 (2010), 47-56. 1, 5
- [12] A. Croitoru, G. Apreutesei, N. Mastorakis Set-norm variation of set-multifunctions, In: Proceedings of the 6th international conference on Applied Mathematics, Simulation, Modelling, (2012), 15-19.
- [13] A. Croitoru Fuzzy integral of measurable multifunctions, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Sysems, 9 4 (2012), 133-140. 1

- [14] A. Croitoru, A. Gavrilut Set-norm exhaustive set multifunctions, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, 10 1 (2013), 123-134 1
- [15] A. Daneshgar, A. Hashemi Fuzzy sets from a meta-system-theoretic point of view, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, 3 2 (2006), 1-20. 1
- [16] A. P. Dempster *Upper and lower probabilities induced by a multivalued mapping*, Classic works of the Dempster-Shafer theory of belief functions, **219** 2 (2008), 57-72. 1
- [17] D. Denneberg Non-additive Measure and Integral, Springer Science & Business Media, 27 1994. 1
- [18] L. Drewnowski Topological rings of sets, continuous set functions. Integration, I, II, III, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Math. Astron. Phys., 20 6 (1972), 269-286. 1
- [19] D. Dubois, H. Prade Fuzzy sets and systems, Theory and applications, Academic Press, New York, 1980. 1
- [20] T. Funiokova LK-Interior systems of almost open L-sets, Iranian JournaL of Fuzzy Systems, 4 2 (2007), 47-55. 1
- [21] A. Gavrilut Regularity and o-continuity for multisubmeasures, An. St. Univ. Iasi., 50 (2004), 393-406. 1
- [22] A. Gavrilut *Properties of regularity for multisubmeasures with respect to the Vietoris topology*, An. St. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi, Tomul L, s. l a, f, **52** (2006), 373-392. 1
- [23] A. Gavrilut, A. Croitoru *Non-atomicity for fuzzy and non-fuzzy multivalued set functions*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **160** 14 (2009), 2106-2116. 1
- [24] A. Gavrilut, A. Croitoru *Pseudo-atoms and Darboux property for set multifunctions*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **161** 22 (2010), 2897-2908. 1, 5
- [25] M. A. Gil, M. López-Díaz, D. A. Ralescu Overview on the development of fuzzy random variables, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 157 19 (2006), 2546-2557. 1, 2
- [26] J. Li On Egoroff's theorem on fuzzy measure spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 135 (2003), 367-375. 1
- [27] F. Merghadi, A. Aliouche *A related xed point theorem in n fuzzy metric spaces*, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, 7 3 (2010), 73-86. 1
- [28] E. Pap Null-additive set functions, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1995.
- [29] N. S. Papageorgiou On the efficiency and optimality of allocations, II, SIAM J. Control Optim., 24 3 (1986), 452-479. 1
- [30] A. M. Precupanu, A. Gavrilut, A. Croitoru A fuzzy Gould type integral, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 161 5 (2010), 661-680. 1
- [31] A. M. Precupanu On the set valued additive and subadditive set functions, An. St. Univ. Iasi, 29 (1984), 41-48. 1
- [32] M. Sarwar, M. Akram *Bipolar fuzzy circuits with applications*, Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems, **34** 1 (2018), 547-558.
- [33] G. Shafer A Mathematical theory of evidence, Princeton University Press, 42 1976. 1
- [34] C. Stamate *Vector fuzzy integral*, Recent Advances in Neural Network, Fuzzy Systems and Evolutionary Computing, Proceeding of the 11-th WSEAS International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FS10), Iasi, Romania, (2010), 221-224. 1
- [35] M. Sugeno Theory of fuzzy integrals and its applications, PhD. Thesis, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 1974. 1
- [36] H. Suzuki Atoms of fuzzy measures and fuzzy integrals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 41 (1991), 329-342. 1
- [37] G. F. Wen, F. G. Shi, H.Y. Li *Almost S*-compactness in L-topological spaces*, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, 5 3 (2008), 31-44. 1
- [38] C. Wu, S. Bo Pseudo-atoms of fuzzy and non-fuzzy measures, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 158 (2007), 1258-1272. 1
- [39] L. A. Zadeh Fuzzy sets, Information and Control, 8 (1965), 338-353. 1
- [40] L. A. Zadeh The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning, Part 1. Inf. Sci., 8 (1975), 199-249; Part 2. Inform. Sci., 8, (1975) 301-353; Part 3. Inform. Sci., 9 1 (1975), 43-80. 2