



On Nano S_β -Open Sets In Nano Topological Spaces

Osama T. Pirbal^{a,*}, Nehmat K. Ahmed^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, College of Education, Salahaddin University-Erbil, 44001, Erbil

^bDepartment of Mathematics, College of Education, Salahaddin University-Erbil, 44001, Erbil

Abstract

The aim of this work is to introduce a new class of nano semi-open sets in nano topological spaces which called nano S_β -open sets in nano topological spaces. Also, we study the relationship between some types of nano near open sets with this new class. The class of nano S_β -open sets exactly lie between nano S_p -open sets and nano semi-open sets. ©2022 All rights reserved.

Keywords: Nano Topology, Nano semi-open sets, Nano β -open sets, Nano S_β -open sets.

2010 MSC: 54A05.

1. Introduction

The notion of nano topological space (briefly NTS) introduced by Thivagar and Carmel [1] with respect to a subset X of a universe; which is defined in terms of lower and upper approximations. Levine [2] introduced the notions of semi-open. Abd El-Monsef [3] introduced the notion of β -open sets in topology. Later, nano semi-open sets introduced by Thivagar Carmel [1], also nano β -open sets introduced by Revathy and lango [4]. During this work, we introduce the concept nano S_β -open sets as a strong form of nano semi-open sets, since every nano S_β -open sets is nano semi-open sets and the relationship with some class of nano near open sets. Various forms of family of nano S_β -open sets under various cases of approximations idea also derived.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [5] A non-empty finite set U of objects called the universe and R is an equivalence relation on the set U named the indiscernibility relation. Elements which in the same equivalence class are called indiscernible with one another. The couple (U, R) is said to be the approximation space. Let $X \subseteq U$:

1. The lower approximation of X with respect to R denoted by $L_R(X)$ and defined by
$$L_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R(x) ; R(x) \subseteq X\},$$
 where $R(x)$ denotes the equivalence class determined by x .
2. The upper approximation of X with respect to R denoted by $U_R(X)$ and defined by $U_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R(x) ; R(x) \cap X \neq \emptyset\}.$

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: osama.pirbal@su.edu.krd (Osama T. Pirbal), nehmat.ahmed@su.edu.krd (Nehmat K. Ahmed)

doi:[10.31559/glm2022.12.1.3](https://doi.org/10.31559/glm2022.12.1.3)

Received 12 Feb 2022 : Revised : 5 Mar 2022 Accepted: 16 Mar 2022

3. The boundary region of X with respect to R denoted by $B_R(X)$ and defined by $B_R(X) = U_R(X) - L_R(X)$.

Definition 2.2. [1] Suppose that U denote the universe and R is an equivalence relation on U and $\tau_R(X) = \{ \phi, U, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X) \}$ where $X \subseteq U$. Then the following axioms hold for $\tau_R(X)$:

1. U and $\phi \in \tau_R(X)$
2. The union of members of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.
3. The finite intersection of members of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.

That is, $\tau_R(X)$ is a Topology on U and named the Nano Topology on the set U with respect to X . The pair $(U, \tau_R(X))$ called the NTS. The members of $\tau_R(X)$ are called nano open sets and $[\tau_R(X)]^c$ is called the nano dual topology of $\tau_R(X)$.

Definition 2.3. [4]

$$\text{nint}(A) = \cup \{G; G \in \tau_R(X) \text{ and } G \subseteq A\}$$

$$\text{ncl}(A) = \cap \{F; F \in [\tau_R(X)]^c \text{ and } A \subseteq F\}$$

Definition 2.4. Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a NTS and $M \subseteq U$. The set M is said to be Nano:

1. regular-open [1], if $M = \text{nint}(\text{ncl}(M))$.
2. α -open [1], if $M \subseteq \text{nint}(\text{ncl}(\text{nint}(M)))$.
3. semi-open [1], if $M \subseteq \text{ncl}(\text{nint}(M))$.
4. pre-open [1], if $M \subseteq \text{nint}(\text{ncl}(M))$.
5. γ -open [1], if $M \subseteq \text{nint}(\text{ncl}(M)) \cup \text{ncl}(\text{nint}(M))$
6. β -open (nano semi pre-open) [4], if $M \subseteq \text{ncl}(\text{nint}(\text{ncl}(M)))$.
7. S_p -open [6], if M is nano semi-open and $M = \cup \{F_\alpha; F_\alpha \text{ is nano pre-closed set}\}$.
8. $\delta\beta$ -open [7], if $M \subseteq \text{ncl}(\text{nint}(\text{ncl}^\delta(M)))$.
9. θ -open [8], if for each $x \in M$, there exists a nano open set G such that $x \in G \subseteq \text{ncl}(M) \subseteq M$.

The set of all Nano regular-open (resp. Nano α -open, Nano semi-open, Nano pre-open, Nano γ -open, Nano β -open, Nano θ -open, Nano S_p -open and Nano $\delta\beta$ -open) sets denoted by $nRO(U, X)$ (resp. $n\alpha O(U, X)$, $nSO(U, X)$, $nPO(U, X)$, $n\gamma O(U, X)$, $n\beta O(U, X)$, $n\theta O(U, X)$, $nS_p O(U, X)$ and $n\delta\beta O(U, X)$).

Definition 2.5. [6] A NTS $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is said to be:

1. Nano locally indiscrete space, if every nano-open set is nano closed.
2. A topological space is called extremally disconnected if the closure of any open subset is still an open subset.
3. Nano clopen if $\text{nint}(A) = \text{ncl}(A)$.

Theorem 2.6. [1] Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a NTS. if $U_R(X) = U$ and $L_R(X) \neq \phi$, then $\phi, U, L_R(X)$ and $B_R(X)$ are the only nS -open sets in a NTS U .

Theorem 2.7. [1] Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a NTS. if $U_R(X) = U$ and $L_R(X) \neq \phi$, then $\phi, U, L_R(X)$ and $B_R(X)$ are the only $n\alpha$ -open sets in a NTS U .

Theorem 2.8. [1] Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a NTS. If $U_R(X) \neq U, L_R(X) = \phi$, then ϕ and those sets A for which $U_R(X) \subseteq A$ are the only $n\alpha$ -open sets in a NTS U .

Theorem 2.9. [1] Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a NTS. If $U_R(X) = L_R(X) \neq U$, then ϕ and those sets A for which $U_R(X) \subseteq A$ are the only $n\alpha$ -open sets in a NTS U .

Theorem 2.10. [1] Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a NTS. If $U_R(X) \neq U$ and $L_R(X) = \phi$, then ϕ and those sets A for which $U_R(X) \subseteq A$ are the only nS -open sets in a NTS U .

Theorem 2.11. [1] Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a NTS. If $U_R(X) = L_R(X) \neq U$, then ϕ and those sets A for which $L_R(X) \subseteq A$ are the only nS -open sets in a NTS U .

Theorem 2.12. [1] Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a NTS. If $U_R(X) \neq L_R(X)$ where $U_R(X) \neq U$ and $L_R(X) \neq \phi$, then ϕ , $L_R(X)$, $B_R(X)$ and any set containing $U_R(X)$, $L_R(X) \cup W$ and $B_R(X) \cup W$ where $W \subseteq [U_R(X)]^c$ are the only nS -open sets in a NTS U .

Theorem 2.13. [1] The union of any two nS -open sets in U is nS -open sets in a NTS U .

Theorem 2.14. [4] In a NTS $(U, \tau_R(X))$, the following statements are true:

1. Every nano-open set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is $n\beta$ -open set in a NTS U .
2. Every nS -open set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is $n\beta$ -open set in a NTS U .
3. Every nP -open set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is $n\beta$ -open set in a NTS U .
4. Every $n\alpha$ -open set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is $n\beta$ -open set in a NTS U .
5. Every nR -open set in $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is $n\beta$ -open set in a NTS U .

Theorem 2.15. [4] The union of any two $n\beta$ -open sets is $n\beta$ -open set in a NTS U .

Theorem 2.16. [4] If $U_R(X) \neq U$, then ϕ , U and any set which intersect $U_R(X)$ are $n\beta$ -open set in a NTS U .

Theorem 2.17. [4] If $U_R(X) = U$ in a NTS, then $n\beta O(X)$ is $P(U)$.

Theorem 2.18. Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a NTS when $U_R(X) = L_R(X) \neq U$ and $U_R(X) = \{x\}$, $x \in U$, then ϕ , $U \neq A \in n\beta C(U, X)$ if and only if $U_R(X) \cap A = \phi$.

Proof. Let ϕ , $U \neq A \in n\beta C(U, X)$ if and only if A^c is $n\beta$ -open set if and only if $A^c \cap U_R(X) \neq \phi$, that is A^c containing x , since $U_R(X) = \{x\}$, so that $A \cap U_R(X) = \phi$. \square

Theorem 2.19. [6] Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a nano topological space, then the following statements hold:

1. If $M \in n\theta O(U, X) \Rightarrow M \in n\delta O(U, X)$.
2. if $M \in nRC(U, X) \Rightarrow M \in nSO(U, X) \cap nPO(U, X)$.
3. If $M \in n\theta O(U, X) \Rightarrow M \in nS_p O(U, X)$.
4. If $M \in n\theta O(U, X) \cap nSO(U, X) \Rightarrow M \in nS_p O(U, X)$.
5. If $M \in nRC(U, X) \Rightarrow M \in nS_p O(U, X)$.
6. If $M \in nS_p O(U, X) \Rightarrow M \in nSO(U, X)$.

Theorem 2.20. [7] Every $n\beta$ -open is $n\delta\beta$ -open.

3. Nano S_β -Open Sets

Definition 3.1. A nano semi-open set A of a NTS $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is said to be nano S_β -open set, if for each $x \in A$, there exist a nano β -closed set F such that $x \in F \subseteq A$. The set of all nano S_β -open sets denoted by $nS_\beta O(U, X)$.

Definition 3.2. The complement of nS_β -open sets are called nano S_β -closed sets. The set of all nano S_β -closed sets denoted by $nS_\beta C(U, X)$.

Proposition 3.3. If $A \in nS_\beta O(U, X)$, then $A \in nSO(U, X)$.

Proof. Follows from Definition 3.1 \square

Remark 3.4. The Proposition 3.3 Shows that every nS_β -open set is nS -open set, but the converse may not be true general, as it shown in the next example.

Example 3.5. Let $U = \{a, b, c\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and $X = \{a\}$, then $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, \{a\}\}$ and $n\beta O(U, X) = \{\phi, U\}$. Consider the set $\{a\} \in nSO(U, X)$ but not in $nS_\beta O(U, X)$.

Proposition 3.6. A subset A of a NTS $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is nS_β -open set if and only if A is nS -open and the union of $n\beta$ -closed sets in a NTS.

Proof. Follows from Definition 3.1. □

Proposition 3.7. If $\{A_i; i \in \Delta\}$ is a family of nS_β -open sets in a NTS $(U, \tau_R(X))$, then $\cup\{A_i; i \in \Delta\}$ is also nS_β -open set.

Proof. Let $\{A_i; i \in \Delta\}$ be a family of nS_β -open sets, by Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 2.13, the for each $x \in \cup A_i \subseteq nSO(U, X)$, there exists $n\beta$ -closed set such that $x \in F \subseteq A_i \subseteq \cup A_i$. This implies that $x \in F \subseteq \cup A_i$. Therefore, $\cup\{A_i; i \in \Delta\}$ is also nS_β -open set. □

Remark 3.8. The intersection of two nS_β -open sets in a NTS U , may not be nS_β -open set in general, as it shown in the next example.

Example 3.9. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, c\}$.

Then $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, \{c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b\}\}$ and $nS_\beta O(U, X) = \{\phi, U, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$, then $\{c, d\}$ and $\{a, b, d\}$ are nS_β -open sets, but $\{c, d\} \cap \{a, b, d\} = \{d\}$ which is not nS_β -open set in U .

Remark 3.10. Class of $nS_\beta O(U, X)$ is supra topology on U .

Theorem 3.11. If $A_1, A_2 \in nS_\beta O(U, X)$ and $nSO(U, X)$ forms a nano topology on U , then $A_1 \cap A_2 \in nS_\beta O(U, X)$ and $nS_\beta O(U, X)$ forms a nano topology on U .

Proof. Suppose that $A_1, A_2 \in nS_\beta O(U, X)$ and $nSO(U, X)$ forms a nano topology on U . By Proposition 3.3, A_1, A_2 are in $nSO(U, X)$ and $nSO(U, X)$ forms a nano topology, then $A_1 \cap A_2 \in nSO(U, X)$. Let $x \in A_1 \cap A_2$, then $x \in A_1$ and $x \in A_2$. So there exist $n\beta$ -closed sets F_1 and F_2 such that $x \in F_1 \subseteq A_1$ and $x \in F_2 \subseteq A_2$. Hence $x \in F_1 \cap F_2$, and by Theorem 2.15, the intersection of two $n\beta$ -closed sets is $n\beta$ -closed set, it follows that $A_1 \cap A_2$ is nS_β -open. Therefore, the collection of $n\beta$ -open sets form a nano topology on U . □

Remark 3.12. The concept of nano-open sets and nS_β -open sets are independent in general. From Example 3.5, $\{a\} \in \tau_R(X)$, but $\{a\} \notin nS_\beta O(U, X)$. Also, from Example 3.9, $\{c, d\} \in nS_\beta O(U, X)$, but $\{c, d\} \notin \tau_R(X)$.

Theorem 3.13. Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a NTS, then the following statements are true:

1. If $A \in nS_p O(U, X) \Rightarrow A \in nS_\beta O(U, X)$.
2. If $A \in n\theta O(U, X) \Rightarrow A \in nS_\beta O(U, X)$.
3. If $A \in nRC(U, X) \Rightarrow A \in nS_\beta O(U, X)$.
4. If $A \in nRO(U, X) \Rightarrow A \in nS_\beta C(U, X)$.
5. If $A \in n\theta O(U, X) \cap nSO(U, X) \Rightarrow A \in nS_\beta O(U, X)$.

Proof.

1. Suppose that A is nS_p -open set, then there exists a nP -closed set F such that $F \subseteq A$ for each x in A . By Theorem 2.14 (3), A is $n\beta$ -closed set such that $F \subseteq A$ for each x in A . Hence A is nS_β -open set.
2. Suppose that A is $n\theta$ -open set, then by Theorem 2.19 (3), F is also nS_p -open set, by Part (1), A is nS_β -open set.
3. Suppose that A is nR -closed set, that is $A = ncl(nint(A))$, but $A \subseteq ncl(nint(A))$, it implies that A is nS -open set. The set A is nR -closed, then A^C is nR -open set, by Theorem 2.14 (4), we get A^C is $n\beta$ -open set, that is A is $n\beta$ -closed set. Now, A is nS -open set and also $n\beta$ -closed. Thus, A is nS_β -open set.
4. It follows from part (3).

5. Suppose that $A \in n\theta O(U, X) \cap nSO(U, X)$, then by Theorem 2.19 (5), A is nS_p -open set, then by Part (1), A is nS_β -open set. □

Remark 3.14. The converse of each part of above Theorem 3.13 may not be true in general, as it shown in the next example.

Example 3.15. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, b\}$.

Then $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$, $n\theta O(U, X) = \{\phi, U\}$, $nRO(U, X) = \{\phi, U, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$, $nS_pO(X) = \{\phi, U, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ and $nS_\beta O(X) = nSO(U, X)$. Take $A = \{a\}$, then A is nS_β -open but not nS_p -open set. Take $B = \{a, d\}$, then B is nS_β -open set but $\{a, d\} \notin n\theta O(U, X)$. Take $C = \{b, c\}$, then C is nS_β -open but not nR -closed in U . Take $D = \{a, d\}$, then D is nS_β -open set but $\{a, d\} \notin n\theta O(U, X) \cap nSO(U, X)$.

Proposition 3.16. If a NTS $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is locally indiscrete, then every nS -open set is nS_β -open set.

Proof. Let A be a nS -open set in U , then $A \subseteq nint(ncl(A))$. Since U is locally indiscrete, then $nint(A)$ is nano closed set and hence $nint(A) = ncl(A)$ which it means that A is nR -closed in U . Therefore, by Theorem 3.13 (1), A is nS_β -open set. □

Remark 3.17. Let $A \subseteq U$. If $A \in nS_\beta O(U, X)$ and A is the union of nP -closed sets, then $A \in nS_pO(U, X)$.

Theorem 3.18. Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a NTS, then the following statements are true:

1. Every nS_β -open set is $n\gamma$ -open set.
2. Every nS_β -open set is $n\beta$ -open set.
3. Every nS_β -open set is $n\delta\beta$ -open set.

Proof.

1. Suppose that A is nS_β -open set, then by Proposition 3.3, A is nS -open set, hence $A \subseteq nint(cl(A)) \cup ncl(nint(A))$.
2. Suppose that A is nS_β -open set, then by Proposition 3.3, A is nS -open set, then by Theorem 2.14 (2), A is $n\beta$ -open set.
3. Suppose that A is nS_β -open set, then by part (2), A is $n\beta$ -open set, by Theorem 2.20, A is $n\delta\beta$ -open set. □

Remark 3.19. The converse of each part of above Theorem 3.18 is not true in general, as it shown in the following examples.

Example 3.20. Let $U = \{a, b, c\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and $X = \{a\}$, then $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, \{a\}\}$. The nano γ -open sets are $\{\phi, U, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$ and $nS_\beta O(X) = \{\phi, U\}$. Consider at least the set $\{a\}$ is γ -open set but not nS_β -open set.

Example 3.21. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}\}$ and $X = \{a, c\}$.

Then, $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, \{c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b\}\}$, $nSO(X) = \{\phi, U, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$, $n\beta O(X) = P(U) - \{d\}$, then $nS_\beta O(X) = \{\phi, U, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Consider $\{b, c\} \in n\beta O(X)$, but $\{b, c\} \notin nSO(X)$. Also, $\{b, c\} \in n\beta O(X)$, but $\{b, c\} \notin nS_\beta O(X)$.

Example 3.22. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $U/R = \{\{a, b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}\}$ and $X = \{c, d\}$, then $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, \{c, d\}\}$. $A = \{b\}$ is $n\delta\beta$ -open set but not nS_β -open set.

Proposition 3.23. In a NTS $(U, \tau_R(X))$ if $\tau_R(X)$ (resp. $nSO(U, X) = \{\phi, U\}$), then $nS_\beta O(U, X) = \{\phi, U\}$.

Proof. Clear. □

Remark 3.24. The converse of above Proposition 3.23 may not to be true in general. That is, if $nS_\beta O(U, X) = \{\phi, U\}$ is does not mean $nSO(U, X) = \{\phi, U\}$, as it is clear in Example 3.5.

4. Family of Nano nS_β Open Sets in Term of $U_R(X)$, $L_R(X)$ and $B_R(X)$

In this section, we consider nS_β -open sets by study of $U_R(X)$, $L_R(X)$ and $B_R(X)$ approximations with respect to X . In this view, we can easily find nS_β -open sets in NTSs.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that $U_R(X) = U$ and $L_R(X) \neq \phi$ in a NTS $(U, \tau_R(X))$, then $\tau_R(X) = \tau_R^S(X) = \tau_R^{S_\beta}(X) = \tau_R^\alpha(X)$.

Proof. Suppose that $U_R(X) = U$ and $L_R(X) \neq \phi$, then $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, L_R(X), B_R(X)\}$. Then by Theorem 2.17, $n\beta O(X) = P(U)$. Then $n\beta C(U, X) = P(U)$ but $nSO(U, X) \subseteq P(U) = n\beta C(U, X)$, so $nSO(U, X) = nS_\beta O(U, X)$, then by Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.10, we get $\tau_R(X) = \tau_R^S(X) = \tau_R^{S_\beta}(X) = \tau_R^\alpha(X)$. \square

Theorem 4.2. Suppose that $U_R(X) = U$ and $L_R(X) = \phi$ in a NTS $(U, \tau_R(X))$, then $\tau_R(X) = nS_\beta O(U, X) = \{U, \phi\}$.

Proof. If $U_R(X) = U$ and $L_R(X) = \phi$, then $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U\}$. Then by Proposition 3.23, $nS_\beta O(U, X) = \{U, \phi\}$. \square

Theorem 4.3. Suppose that $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a NTS. Let M and N be two nS_β -open set, then $M \cap N$ is nS_β -open in a NTS if $L_R(X) \neq \phi$ and $U_R(X) = U$.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 4.1. \square

Theorem 4.4. Suppose that $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a NTS. If $U_R(X) = L_R(X) \neq U$ and $U_R(X) = \{x\}$, $x \in U$, then $nS_\beta O(U, X) = \{\phi, U\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $U_R(X) = L_R(X) \neq U$ and $U_R(X) = \{x\}$, $x \in U$, then $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, \{x\}\}$. By Theorem 2.11, ϕ and those sets A for which $L_R(X) \subseteq A$ are the only nS -open sets in U , so all nS -open sets contains x , but by Theorem 2.16, ϕ , U and any set which intersect $U_R(X)$ are $n\beta$ -open set in U , then by Theorem 2.18, any proper subset which contains $U_R(X) = \{x\}$ is not $n\beta$ -closed set in U , but since all nS -open set contains x and there is no $n\beta$ -closed set containing x , hence $nS_\beta O(U, X) = \{\phi, U\}$. \square

Theorem 4.5. Suppose that $U_R(X) \neq U$ in a NTS $(U, \tau_R(X))$. If M is nS -open set and $M^c \cap U_R(X) \neq \phi$, then M is nS_β -open set in a NTS U .

Proof. Let $U_R(X) \neq U$ and M be a nS -open set such that $M^c \cap U_R(X) \neq \phi$. Then by Theorem 2.16, M^c is $n\beta$ -open set in U , then M is $n\beta$ -closed set. Hence M is nS_β -open set in a NTS U . \square

Theorem 4.6. $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a NTS. If $U_R(X) = L_R(X) \neq U$ and $U_R(X)$ contains more than one element of U , then ϕ and those sets M for which $U_R(X) \subseteq M$ are the only nS_β -open sets in NTS U .

Proof. Suppose that $U_R(X) = L_R(X) \neq U$ and $U_R(X)$ contains more than one element of U . By Theorem 2.11, ϕ and those sets M which $U_R(X) \subseteq M$ are the only nS -open sets in U . Let M is nS -open set, then $U_R(X) \subseteq M$. Let $x \in M$, then:

Case1. If $x \in U_R(X) \subseteq M$, by Theorem 2.16, $\{x\}$ is $n\beta$ -open, since $\{x\} \cap U_R(X) \neq \phi$, then $\{x\}^c \cap U_R(X) \neq \phi$, since $U_R(X)$ contains more than one element of U . Hence $\{x\}^c$ is $n\beta$ -open, so its complement $\{x\}$ is $n\beta$ -closed. Thus, $x \in \{x\} \subseteq M$.

Case2. If $x \notin U_R(X) \subseteq M$, then $\{x\} \cap U_R(X) = \phi$, then $\{x\}^c \cap U_R(X) \neq \phi$, so $\{x\}^c$ is $n\beta$ -open set, then its complement $\{x\}$ is $n\beta$ -closed set. Thus, $x \in \{x\} \subseteq M$.

Therefore, ϕ and those sets M which $U_R(X) \subseteq M$ are the only nS_β -open sets in a NTS U . \square

Theorem 4.7. Suppose that $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a NTS. If $U_R(X) \neq U$, $L_R(X) = \phi$ and $U_R(X)$ contains more than one element of U , then ϕ and those sets M for which $U_R(X) \subseteq M$ are the only nS_β -open sets in a NTS U .

Proof. $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, U_R(X)\}$, then the proof similar with Theorem 4.6. \square

Theorem 4.8. Suppose that $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a NTS. Let M and N are two nS_β -open sets in U , then $M \cap N$ is nS_β -open if $U_R(X) = L_R(X) \neq U$ and $U_R(X)$ contains more than one element of NTS U .

Proof. Suppose that $U_R(X) = L_R(X) \neq U$ and $U_R(X)$ contains more than one element of a NTS U , then by Theorem 4.6, ϕ and any set containing $U_R(X)$ is nS_β -open set. If M or $N = \phi$, then the result is clear. Let M and $N \neq \phi$ be nS_β -open sets in NTS U , then $U_R(X) \subseteq M$ and $U_R(X) \subseteq N$ and hence $U_R(X) \subseteq M \cap N$. Thus, $M \cap N$ is nS_β -open set in NTS U . \square

Theorem 4.9. Suppose that $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a NTS. If M and N are two nS_β -open set, then $M \cap N$ is nS_β -open if $U_R(X) \neq U$, $L_R(X) = \phi$ and $U_R(X)$ contains more than one element of a NTS U .

Proof. The proof similar to Theorem 4.8. \square

Theorem 4.10. Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a NTS. If $L_R(X) \neq U_R(X)$ where $U_R(X) \neq U$ and $L_R(X) \neq \phi$, then ϕ , $L_R(X)$, $B_R(X)$ and any set containing $U_R(X)$, $L_R(X) \cup W$ and $B_R(X) \cup W$ where $W \subseteq [U_R(X)]^c$ are the only nS_β -open sets in a NTS U .

Proof. $\tau_R(X) = \{\phi, U, U_R(X), L_R(X), B_R(X)\}$. It is clear $U_R(X)$ contains more than one point of U . By Theorem 2.12, ϕ , $L_R(X)$, $B_R(X)$ and any set containing $U_R(X)$, $L_R(X) \cup W$ and $B_R(X) \cup W$ where $W \subseteq [U_R(X)]^c$ are the only nS -open sets in NTS U . Since $U_R(X)$ intersect any proper subset with less than one point of U , say G , so by Theorem 2.16, G is $n\beta$ -open set and its complement is singleton $n\beta$ -closed, that is, for any $x \in U$, $\{x\} \in n\beta C(U, X)$, then by Proposition 3.6, any nS -open set is nS_β -open set. Therefore, ϕ , $L_R(X)$, $B_R(X)$ and any set containing $U_R(X)$, $L_R(X) \cup W$ and $B_R(X) \cup W$ where $W \subseteq [U_R(X)]^c$ are the only nS_β -open sets in a NTS U . \square

Theorem 4.11. Let A be a subset of a NTS $(U, \tau_R(X))$. If A is nano clopen, then A is nS_β clopen in a NTS U .

Proof. Suppose that G is a subset of NTS U which is nano clopen in U . Then $nint(G) = ncl(G)$ and $G \subseteq ncl(nint(ncl(G)))$. Hence, G is $n\beta$ -open. Let $K = G^c$, then K is $n\beta$ -closed. Since G is nano clopen, K is also nano clopen. So $K \subseteq ncl(nint(ncl(K)))$ and thus K is $n\beta$ -open. Hence $G = K^c$ is $n\beta$ -closed. Hence, G and K are $n\beta$ -clopen in U . Again, G and K are nS -open as G and K both are nano open. Therefore, for nS -open set G , and for each $x \in G$ there exists a $n\beta$ -closed set G such that $x \in G \subseteq G$. Thus, G is nS_β -open. By similar argument $K = G^c$ is nS_β -open. \square

Theorem 4.12. Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a NTS. If $U_R(X) \neq U$, $L_R(X) = \phi$ and $U_R(X)$ contains more than one point of U , then $nS_\beta O(U, X) = n\alpha O(U, X)$.

Proof. Follows from Theorem 2.11 and Theorem 4.7. \square

Theorem 4.13. Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a NTS. If $U_R(X) = L_R(X) \neq U$ and $U_R(X)$ contains more than one point of U , then $nS_\beta O(U, X) = n\alpha O(U, X)$.

Proof. Follows form Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 4.6. \square

References

- [1] M. L. Thivagar, C. Richard, On nano forms of weakly open sets, International journal of mathematics and statistics invention, **1** (2013),31-37. [1](#), [2.2](#), [1](#), [2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [5](#), [2.6](#), [2.7](#), [2.8](#), [2.9](#), [2.10](#), [2.11](#), [2.12](#), [2.13](#)
- [2] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, The American mathematical monthly, **70** (1963), 36-41. [1](#)
- [3] M. Abd El-Monsef, β -open sets and β -continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., **12** (1983), 77-90. [1](#)
- [4] A. Revathy and G. Ilango, On nano β -open sets, Int. J. Eng. Contemp. Math. Sci., **1** (2015), 1-6. [1](#), [2.3](#), [6](#), [2.14](#), [2.15](#), [2.16](#), [2.17](#)
- [5] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets, International journal of computer and information sciences, **11** (1982), 341-356. [2.1](#)

- [6] D. Saravanakumar, T. Sathiyandham, and V. Shalini, *NSP-open sets and NSP-closed sets in nano topological spaces*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **113** (2017), 98-108. [7](#), [2.5](#), [2.19](#)
- [7] M. Hosny, *Nano $\delta\beta$ -open sets and nano $\delta\beta$ -continuity*, Journal of the Egyptian Mathematical Society, **26** (2018), 365-375. [8](#), [2.20](#)
- [8] M. L. Thivagar and C. Richard, *Note on nano topological spaces*, communicated. [9](#)